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Executive Summary

Set against the backdrop of the ongoing poaching crisis driven by a dramatic increase in the illicit trade in wildlife products, this briefing paper intends to support the ongoing efforts of African governments and the broader international community in the fight against poaching. Specifically, the paper looks at the wildlife watching market segment within the tourism sector and highlights its economic importance with a view to encouraging tourism authorities and the tourism industry to collaborate in strengthening anti-poaching measures and raising awareness of these issues among tourists. The analysis identifies key economic indicators and characteristics of wildlife watching tourism in African countries. The briefing paper acts a first step towards measuring more systematically the economic value of the wildlife watching tourism market segment in Africa and in defining the role of the tourism sector in the fight against poaching. In its research, UNWTO followed a multi-level, participatory approach, collecting as much information as was available at the international, national and local levels and creating a network of contacts for potential future research.

The briefing paper focuses specifically on non-consumptive forms of wildlife tourism which offer visitors the experience of observing wildlife in natural and non-captive habitats. Generally, the species of wildlife that can be experienced through this form of tourism are the very same as those most often threatened by poaching and other environmental detriments. The findings of the research are based on a review of publications, economic data, case studies and other sources related to wildlife watching tourism, as well as on the exchange of experiences with international organisations working in the fields of nature conservation, tourism, sustainable development and wildlife crime. In addition, to address a scarcity of data and statistical information about the wildlife watching tourism segment and its economic value, a survey was carried out among African tourism ministries and authorities, protected area and wildlife conservation institutions, and international and African-based tour operators. The briefing paper was prepared in collaboration with the Convention on Migratory Species of Wild Animals (UNEP/CMS), which played an especially important role in establishing contact with protected area and wildlife conservation authorities.

A total of 48 governmental institutions (tourism authorities and protected area and wildlife conservation agencies) from 31 African countries participated in the survey. The sample represents 63% of UNWTO African Member States. Additionally, a total of 148 tour operators selling trips to Africa from 31 different countries participated, 49% of which were tour operators mainly from Europe (generally the principal source market for Africa) and 51% were Africa-based tour operators. The survey findings confirm that wildlife watching is a very important segment of tourism for most African countries, representing 80% of the total annual trip sales to Africa for the participating tour operators, with that share only increasing. The survey findings also indicated that for the great majority of the countries denoted in the paper, poaching is seen as a serious problem that has negative impacts on tourism that threatens the sector's long-term sustainability and its development opportunities. For example, the employment opportunities generated for the local community in accommodation, restaurants and guiding, as well as the indirect benefits linked to the redistribution of protected area fees and community funds are at risk from the negative impacts of poaching.
Feedback from the survey also reveals a picture of where wildlife watching tourism is taking place and what kinds of activities travellers are taking part in. Wildlife watching tourism occurs mainly in protected areas; and nature, national parks and wildlife are considered the most important tourism assets for tourists travelling to Africa. While the regions that are most visited for the purposes of experiencing wildlife watching tourism are East Africa and Southern Africa, Central and West African tourism authorities are committed to further developing this type of tourism. Safari is the most popular kind of wildlife watching and is being offered by 96% of the participating tour operators. This is followed by bird watching, which is offered by 80% of the participating tour operators and seems to be combined frequently with other activities. In countries that are not considered classic safari destinations, the observation of great apes, marine wildlife and tracking of particular species are especially important.

The exercise also has been successful in identifying key indicators related to wildlife watching tourism that assist in measuring the segment’s economic importance and potential growth. For instance, a typical wildlife watching tour involves on average a group of six people, lasts 10 days, has an average daily price per person of US$ 433 and captures an additional US$ 55 in out-of-pocket expenses per person, per day. The findings also indicated the differences between and standard and luxury segments with the greatest variation being in both average daily price per person per day (US$ 753 for a luxury package and US$ 243 for a standard package) and in out-of-pocket expenditures (US$ 59 for a traveller on a luxury package and US$ 44 per person per day on a standard package). Little variation was found between the segments related to the size of the group or the average length of stay which seem to be consistent characteristics of the wildlife watching product instead of factors directly related to the comfort of the experience.

With regards to protected area visitors and receipts, results suggest that a total of 14 countries are generating an estimated US$ 142 million in entrance fees for protected areas. Because this figure covers only a small number of countries and is based on some inconclusive data, it can be assumed that protected area receipts are indeed much higher than the figure suggests. Fortunately for the purposes of future analysis, the research found that there are numerous ongoing efforts being carried out by African governments to monitor data that could be useful in estimating the economic value of the wildlife watching tourism sector. That being said, further improvements are needed as these efforts are often not consistent and commonly lead to inconclusive results.

The data also indicated that while a majority of protected area authorities are involved in anti-poaching measures, the tourism authorities are only involved to a minor extent and most do not distribute information on poaching to tourists. Of the participating tour operators about 50% are funding anti-poaching initiatives and/or engaging in nature conservation projects, however only a few are proactively taking the initiative to inform their customers on the issue.

In conclusion, the findings suggest that guidance and capacity building in developing consistent monitoring of protected area visitors and receipts and subsequently putting together a framework for the analysis of these data are needed. In this regard, establishing a model linked to an overall assessment of the economic value of wildlife watching tourism in Africa that would connect data from protected areas with tour operators’ performance would be most useful. In addition, based
on the experiences gathered and the network established through this exercise, such a model could be developed and tested with relevant stakeholders, namely tourism and wildlife conservation authorities at the national and local levels, and the tour operator community. Ideally, the model should be able to look at specific kinds of wildlife watching tourism (safari, marine, bird watching, etc.) in order to be applicable to the very different settings in which wildlife watching tourism takes place.

Finally, while the involvement in anti-poaching initiatives by tour operators is not very extensive yet, the survey results suggest that there is potential for mobilizing the tourism sector in anti-poaching campaigns, which is significant in that the sector can play a key role in raising awareness and potentially financing (or co-financing) anti-poaching initiatives. Further research is recommended in order to assess the level of tour operators’ concern with nature conservation as well as their involvement with conservation and anti-poaching initiatives and other types of initiatives in place. Such research could be designed in close cooperation with the target group and not be restricted to European and North American travel markets but could also include emerging markets for outbound tourism to Africa like Asia.
Background

1.1. Wildlife crime challenges nature conservation

African countries have long promoted biodiversity conservation through the sustainable use of natural resources and there have been major achievements in the protection and recovery of wildlife populations. The dramatic increase in poaching and illicit trade of wildlife products since 2005 – often referred to as ‘wildlife crime’ – threatens to undermine these conservation achievements and endangers some of the most iconic species to become extinct within only a few decades – most prominently, elephants and rhinos, but also other big mammals such as lions and gorillas as well as smaller species. Furthermore, wildlife is also threatened by the increasing loss of habitat and loss of range, among other pressures.

The increase in wildlife crime is a result of widespread poverty, underfunding of wildlife conservation efforts, lack of law enforcement and political instability in the concerned countries and a rising demand for exotic animal products overseas, foremost in the rapidly growing economies of Asia due to increasing wealth and recent changes consumer spending patterns. While in the past much of the poaching in Africa had been opportunistic, wildlife crime has become a serious criminal activity involving transnational networks of well-resourced and organised groups.

Poaching and the illegal wildlife trade lead to detrimental environmental, economic and social consequences. Wildlife crime threatens the future existence of species and impacts the ecological integrity of whole ecosystems, especially as big mammals are essential for the maintenance of biodiversity and ecosystem functions. Poaching deprives communities of their natural capital and cultural heritage and undermines sustainable economic development and poverty alleviation. Wildlife crime is also a security challenge that threatens national security, undermines government authority, breeds corruption and restricts the potential for sustainable investment, constraining a country’s social and economic development.

Over time, the international community has become aware of the fact that poaching is the most immediate and direct threat to wildlife in Africa making its upward trend a cause of serious concern. There has been progress in a number of countries but compliance with international conventions and law enforcement are still insufficient in many parts of the world. Therefore, actions against wildlife crime are being reinforced and readjusted through the statements and agreements among numerous international governmental and nongovernmental bodies.

Examples of the enhanced efforts that are active in the international community to address these issues can be seen in programmes such as the Monitoring the Illegal Killing of Elephants (MIKE) and the Elephant Trade Information System (ETIS); the commitments made at Rio+20 (June 2012), CITES COP 16 (March 2013) and the G8 Summit (June 2013); the discussions held during the United Nations General Assembly (UNGA, September 2013), the African Elephant Summit (December 2013) and the London Conference on Illegal Wildlife Trade (February 2014);
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the side event held at the First United Nations Environment Assembly (UNEA) of UNEP (June 2014); and the launch of the Strategic Mission of the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC) at CITES SC 65 (July 2014), among others.

1.2. Tourism is a driver of sustainable development

Tourism is increasingly referred to as a driver of sustainable development. It was mentioned in the UNGA Resolution 66/288 which endorses the Outcome Document of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (Rio+20), “The future we want”, as one of the sectors capable of making a significant contribution to the three dimensions of sustainable development, noting also that tourism is linked closely to other sectors and can create decent jobs and generate trade opportunities. The document builds on the previous Resolution 65/173, Promotion of Ecotourism for poverty eradication and environment protection, which “recognizes that the development of ecotourism, within the framework of sustainable tourism, can have a positive impact on income generation, job creation and education, and thus on the fight against the poverty”.

Moreover, tourism has been identified as one of the ten key sectors to evolve towards a Green Economy and is included as one of the initial 10 Year Framework Programmes (10YFP) to accelerate the shift towards more sustainable consumption and production patterns. Additionally, the Conference of the Parties (COP) of Multilateral Environmental Agreements (MEAs) such as the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) or the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands of International Importance have also approved respectively Decisions VII/14, on “Biological diversity and tourism” and XI/6 on “Cooperation with other conventions, international organizations, and initiatives” and Resolution XI/7 on “Tourism, recreation and wetlands” recognizing the potential of tourism to advance the conservation of biodiversity.

In economic terms, many countries in Africa, especially in Sub-Saharan Africa, have benefitted from strong growth in their tourism sector in recent years. Although the economic importance of tourism in Africa and the continent’s share of the worldwide tourism market are relatively modest (5% of global international arrivals and 3% of global international receipts), tourism has been increasing steadily with an average annual growth rate of international tourist arrivals of about 6.1% per year between 2005 and 2013. During the same period, arrivals have grown from 35 million in 2005 to reaching a new record of 56 million in 2013. The total international tourism receipts for Africa in 2013 reached US$ 34.2 billion. Absolute numbers are predicted to more than double during the upcoming decade, reaching 134 million international arrivals in 2030.

From a policy perspective it is important to note that over 30 African countries have identified tourism as a national priority within the Enhanced Integrated Framework (EIF). This underlines that tourism is considered as a priority sector for many African countries and much hope is put into future tourism development as a vehicle for economic growth, job creation and poverty alleviation. The multiplier effects on local and national economies due to the broad range of
goods and services included in its value chain have benefits beyond generating income and revenue\textsuperscript{12}.

Research related to pro-poor tourism and experiences have demonstrated the functions of tourism from the perspective of sustainable development and poverty alleviation\textsuperscript{13}:

- Tourism can support the transformation and diversification of national economies;
- Tourism can be developed in remote areas and developing regions that do not offer other export options;
- Tourism is a labour-intensive industry and creates decent employment for women, young people and marginalized populations;
- Cultural and wildlife heritage is one of the assets of the poor that can be harnessed for economic development;
- Tourism creates net benefits and offers a wide range of opportunities for micro, small and medium enterprises (MSMEs).

1.3. Tourism is affected by the loss of species

The world’s highest levels of biodiversity occur in less-developed countries and these offer some of the world’s most well-known wildlife watching destinations. Africa is exceptional for mammal diversity and the main destination for wildlife watching tourism\textsuperscript{14}. According to the Centre for the Promotion of Imports from developing countries in the Netherlands (CBI), the destination of about half of all wildlife watching tourism trips booked worldwide is an African country. The global market size of wildlife tourism has been estimated at 12 million trips annually and is growing at a rate of about 10% a year\textsuperscript{15}.

The leisure tourism market in Africa represents over half of the international tourist arrivals to Africa\textsuperscript{16} and is characterised by high-end trips to top wildlife watching and nature destinations, niche tourism products such as adventure trips and cultural heritage tours and lower-end beach holidays. The middle-income market on the other hand remains relatively underdeveloped\textsuperscript{17}. The most established tourism products in Africa are safari, beach resort, business and Diaspora tourism\textsuperscript{18} while newly emerging products are adventure tourism (mainly nature-related such as trekking and adventure sports), cultural heritage and wellness/health tourism\textsuperscript{19}.

The most important long-haul markets for Africa are France, the UK, the US, Germany and Portugal. Smaller markets include tourists from other European countries, Canada and Australia, while important future source markets are in emerging countries like China, India and Russia\textsuperscript{20}. Furthermore, there is a significant increase in domestic and intraregional travel in Africa undertaken for a variety of purposes from business and shopping to visiting family, to cultural heritage sight-seeing and other leisure reasons.
Wildlife watching tourism, like other types of tourism, is sensitive to economic circumstances and has decreased during this recent economic recession. Nevertheless, wildlife watching tourism is a growing market segment and interest in wildlife watching trips has only increased with a rise in media coverage and internet communication. Conservation issues and awareness of the risk of extinction of an increasing number of species also contribute to tourists’ motivation to observe wildlife ranging freely in their natural habitats.

Countries in East and Southern Africa are known as the world’s top destinations for the so-called “Big Five” watching (lion, African Elephant, Cape Buffalo, leopard and rhinoceros). In addition to Africa’s classic safari destinations, alternative or complementary destinations are emerging with new products, for example gorilla trekking in Central Africa. These wildlife-related tourism products can only be experienced on the African continent and thus represent a unique selling proposition for African tourism. In addition to the mentioned iconic species, all African countries offer outstanding opportunities to experience wildlife and nature – including bird watching, observation of marine wildlife and viewing of agglomerations of wildlife along migration routes.

However, wildlife crime is threatening the very existence of iconic species that are essential to Africa’s image as home to the world’s top wildlife destinations and thus jeopardizes the basis of one of Africa’s most important tourism products. Security, safety, the conservation of ecosystems, and the quality of tourism products and services are basic prerequisites for successful tourism development, while poaching has serious negative impacts on the political, social and economic framework in which tourism development can take place. Consequently, the loss of wildlife caused by poaching is likely to significantly impact tourism development in Africa as well as the tourism sector worldwide linked to the African market with the subsequent reduction of the sustainable opportunities linked to the sector.
Scope of the briefing paper

2.1. Objective

The briefing paper aims to identify key economic indicators and characteristics of wildlife watching tourism in African countries in order to highlight this market segment’s economic importance and encourage tourism authorities and the tourism sector to collaborate in strengthening anti-poaching measures and raising awareness of these issues among tourists.

The briefing paper is a first step towards measuring more systematically the economic value of the wildlife watching tourism market segment in Africa and in defining the role of the tourism sector in the fight against poaching.

2.2. Methodology

The briefing paper is based on a review of publications, economic data, case studies and other sources related to wildlife watching tourism; a survey with African tourism ministries and authorities; protected area and wildlife conservation agencies; international and African-based tour operators; as well as an exchange of experiences with international organisations working in the fields of nature conservation, tourism, sustainable development and fighting wildlife crime (a list of contributors can be found in Annex 1).

The collaboration with the Convention on Migratory Species of Wild Animals (UNEP/CMS) for the preparation of the briefing paper is to be highlighted, especially for the key role that it played when establishing contact with protected area and wildlife conservation agencies.

The desk research was initiated in February 2014 and was followed by an online survey which was concluded on 15 April 2014. Consultations with representatives from a variety of relevant organizations took place during the process. A first draft of the document was presented to the African Ministers of Tourism during the UNWTO Commission for African Member State celebrated in Luanda, Angola, on 28 April 2014. A second round of consultations focusing on key questions of the survey was carried out with tour operators in the month of May applying the Delphi method. The final analysis of data was carried out between June and July 2014. The validation of the results by governmental institutions and contributors was completed in September 2014.
2.3. Definition of wildlife watching tourism

Based on the definition of UNEP/CMS, this briefing paper defines: “Wildlife watching tourism is a type of tourism that is organized and undertaken in order to watch or encounter wildlife. Wildlife watching tourism exclusively relates to non-consumptive forms of wildlife-based activities as observing and sometimes touching or feeding of animals, in contrast to consumptive forms like hunting and fishing.”

The specific tourism products with a main purpose of wildlife observation are often named after the animal or the group of animals primarily observed. For instance:

- Big Five watching (lion, leopard, rhino, elephant, buffalo)
- Gorilla tracking
- Lemur tracking
- Bird watching
- Whale watching
- Dolphin watching

Safari is the most common term for wildlife watching tourism. The word “Safari” originates from Swahili and means “journey”. When used in English or German in colonial times, it referred to hunting expeditions. Currently the term safari is most often used as a synonym for wildlife watching tourism and refers to tourism taking place mainly in protected areas that offers the opportunity to observe and photograph wild animals in their natural habitats. The classic form of safari entails observing wildlife from four-wheel drive vehicles and staying in tented safari camps or lodges. Newly emerging forms of safari include trekking, kayaking or camel safaris.

While safari tours and the above-mentioned specific tourism products may represent the most common forms of wildlife-related tourism, the briefing paper encompasses all kinds of wildlife that may be observed by tourists. The research does not include captive or semi-captive settings of animals such as zoos. The paper aims to showcase the economic value and related characteristics of tourism products that are based on the opportunity to observe wild animals in their natural habitat, as it is this form of wildlife tourism that is threatened by poaching and other environmental detriments.

Furthermore, the briefing paper focuses on non-consumptive forms of wildlife tourism and therefore does not include trophy hunting tourism. Trophy hunting tourism can be a legitimate and profitable wildlife conservation tool if managed effectively. Nevertheless, against the background of poaching and the illegal trade of wildlife products, the discussion of hunting tourism among stakeholders including nature conservation institutions and the African countries that take different approaches with regards to trophy hunting, remains controversial. Additionally, from both the tourism sector and the consumer perspectives, wildlife watching tours and trophy hunting are separate segments.

12 Chapter 2 – Scope of the briefing paper
2.4. The economic value of wildlife watching tourism

It is important to note that the subject of this briefing paper is the economic value of tourism, most precisely of the wildlife watching market segment, and not the economic value of wildlife itself. The intrinsic value of wildlife and its various contributions to sustainable development and human well-being - including ecological, genetic, social, economic, scientific, educational, cultural, recreational and aesthetic - are manifold and maybe more or equally important as the economic value, but they are not the subject of this paper.

The economic value of tourism can be defined as the result of all economic impacts caused by tourism. These impacts are direct, indirect and induced through the total of tourism expenditures, creation of employment, positive and negative externalities, revenues from taxes and other public charges, foreign exchange earnings and the related multiplier effects.

UNWTO Statistics focus on measuring the direct economic contribution of tourism to the national economy. They provide data and indicators on inbound, outbound and domestic tourism, as well as on tourism industries, employment and macroeconomic indicators related to inbound tourism such as for instance, the contribution of tourism to GDP. The inclusion of the full economic benefits of tourism into UNWTO Statistics is currently under discussion.

Analysing the economic value of the wildlife watching tourism market segment in Africa faces some of the following challenges:

1) The availability of national tourism statistics for African countries is quite limited and refers to the direct economic contribution of tourism. At the national level, data on international tourist arrivals and international tourism receipts are available for the majority of countries. However, data on employment or tourism industries or indicators on the average length of stay and the average expenditure per day are being reported for only a small number of African countries. In addition, Tourism Satellite Accounts (TSA) are only available for a limited number of African countries.

2) Where data are available at national level, they mostly refer to the whole tourism sector, regardless of the different travel purposes. A few countries account indicators according to three different travel purposes, i.e. leisure, business, visiting friends and relatives (VFR) and others; but different segments of tourism such as beach tourism, nature tourism, cultural tourism or wildlife-related tourism are not identified.

3) Data on the tourism expenditure of wildlife watching tourism at the destination level are not collected systematically, or, where data are generated by registrations, surveys or studies, these are often not published.

The review of the literature and case studies revealed that there are numerous studies, projects and publications analyzing wildlife watching tourism. Although the economic value of wildlife watching tourism is usually referred to as important, the reviewed literature focusses mainly on how the economic value could be evaluated and points out that there are no valid data available for such analysis. The very few studies that eventually gathered concrete economic figures on the
segment were based on very specific locations and demonstrate that the economic value of 
wildlife watching tourism can reach significant dimensions. They also reveal that, while the 
economic potential of wildlife watching tourism might be underestimated, the realisation of its 
benefits in terms of tangible impacts on local economies and pro-poor benefits can only be 
achieved if tourism development is participatory, well-planned, managed and monitored, and 
follows the principles of sustainability.34

2.5. The survey

Given the scarcity of data at the national level for the region as well as the absence of relevant 
statistical information for the segment of wildlife watching tourism, UNWTO fielded a survey 
among relevant stakeholders. Specific questions addressing the number of arrivals to protected 
areas and related receipts were included in the survey. The existing official data on international 
tourism arrivals and receipts was used as a benchmark against which the results of the survey 
were contrasted (The available data on international tourism arrivals and receipts can be found in 
Annex 2).

The survey was distributed to national tourism authorities, protected area and wildlife 
conservation authorities and individual protected areas. With the objective of accessing relevant 
data on the wildlife watching tourism segment potentially available at the national and local level, 
a selection of questions related to key economic indicators and characteristics of wildlife watching 
tourism were included in the survey. Moreover, international and African tour operators were 
surveyed to describe the supply side of wildlife watching tours.

The survey was conducted following a consultative process with various tour operator 
associations via online questionnaires from 26 February to 15 April 2014. A second round of 
consultations with tour operators focusing on validating the findings took place during May 2014 
using the Delphi method.

The following four specific versions of the questionnaire were developed and sent to 
governmental institutions and the tourism sector:

• Ministries of tourism and national tourism authorities
• National and local protected area and wildlife conservation agencies
• Tour operators from Europe and the U.S.A. (aka ‘international tour operators’)
• African-based tour operators

All questionnaires were available in English and French, and in the case of international tour 
operators also in German.
2.5.1. Survey participation: governmental institutions

Tourism ministries of all 49 UNWTO African Member States were invited to participate in the survey. The national authorities for protected areas and wildlife conservation were addressed through the national focal points of UNEP/CMS which is a partner in this UNWTO initiative. The UNEP/CMS focal points were asked to forward the survey to relevant conservation institutions and individual national parks (A list of participating governmental institutions can be found in Annex 3).

In total, 48 governmental institutions from 31 countries replied, i.e. Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Chad, Congo, Cote d'Ivoire, Democratic Republic Congo, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Kenya, Lesotho, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, Niger, Senegal, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, South Africa, South Africa, Swaziland, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania, Zimbabwe. The sample represents 63% of UNWTO Member States and the respondents include 20 national tourism authorities, 16 national wildlife conservation authorities, three local wildlife conservation authorities, six individual national parks and three other institutions. The balanced response of both governmental branches can be interpreted as a sign of their shared interest in the topic.

The participating governmental institutions are from four African sub-regions – six participants from five Central African countries; 15 participants from 10 East African countries; 10 participants from four Southern African countries and 17 participants from 12 West African countries. There were no participating countries from Northern Africa. For nine countries (Democratic Republic of Congo, Ghana, Kenya, Lesotho, Senegal, South Africa, Swaziland, Tanzania and Uganda), replies were received from both the governmental branches of tourism and protected area and wildlife conservation.
Fifty-eight tour operator associations from 27 European and American countries and 12 African countries were contacted and requested to forward the survey invitation to their members. 17 responded positively and supported the survey (a list of the supporting tour operator associations can be found in Annex 4). In addition, about 700 individual tour operators from 38 countries were contacted directly and invited to participate in the survey.

A total of 162 tour operators from 34 countries replied to the survey. Tour operators were from Australia, Bangladesh, Botswana, Canada, Cape Verde, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Ethiopia, Finland, France, Gabon, Germany, India, Italy, Kenya, Lithuania, Madagascar, Malawi, Namibia, Netherlands, Nigeria, Peru, Portugal, Republic of Korea, Rwanda, South Africa, Spain, Switzerland, Uganda, UK, United Republic of Tanzania, USA and Zimbabwe (A detailed list of the tour operators participating is given in Annex 5). 14 of the international tour operators surveyed do not offer trips to Africa and were therefore excluded from further analysis. The remaining 148 tour operators from 31 countries; 75 are based in Africa and 73 in Europe, North America, Asia and Oceania.
Among the African tour operators, a majority of the participants are from countries that are well-known wildlife watching destinations such as Tanzania (21 participants), South Africa (12 participants), Namibia (10), Uganda (9) and Kenya (8). Zimbabwe and Madagascar are represented by three participants each, Botswana, Cape Verde, Ethiopia, Gabon, Malawi, Nigeria and Rwanda by either one or two participating tour operators each.

The majority of the participating international tour operators are from Europe (62 out of 73), mainly from Germany (24), The Netherlands (9), Italy (8), the UK (8), France (5) and another four European countries. The high participation of European tour operators is linked to the fact that these are the main source markets for Africa and thus greater emphasis was placed in ensuring participation. Additionally, eight of the tour operators participating are from the US and Canada, two from Asia (Bangladesh and Republic of Korea) and one from Australia.

### 2.5.3. Size of participating tour operators

Out of the 148 tour operators that offer trips to Africa who responded, 140 provided information on their size\(^36\): 51% fall into the category of micro-enterprises having less than 10 employees; 32% are considered small enterprises with 10 to 50 employees; 10% correspond to medium enterprises with 50 to 250 employees, and; 7% are large enterprises with more than 250 employees. In total, 93% of the participating tour operators are considered MSMEs. It should be noted that from the large enterprises, 2 tour operators employ more than 6,000 employees.
Chapter 3

Analysis of the surveys

The following section presents the analysis of the results of the surveys (the questionnaires can be found in Annex 6).

3.1. Characteristics of wildlife watching tourism

3.1.1. Safari is the most practiced type of wildlife watching tourism

Governmental institutions were asked about the type of wildlife watching that can be practiced in their countries through a multiple choice question: a) safari (Big Five and others); b) great apes (chimpanzee, gorillas); c) marine wildlife (including whale watching); d) bird watching; e) special wildlife tracking, and f) others. Additionally, tour operators were asked about the kinds of wildlife watching tours that they offer and the countries in which they operate.

All participating governmental institutions from 31 different countries answered this question. A total of 92% of the respondents mention that bird watching can be practiced in their country; 73% state this for safari; 35% state this for the observation of great apes; 45% state this for marine wildlife watching; 38% state that special wildlife tracking; and 29% state this for other kinds of wildlife watching tours.

Analyzing the replies from the participating tour operators, bird watching is offered in 71% of African UNWTO Member States, safari tours in 65%; special wildlife watching in 49%; marine wildlife watching in 33%; observation of great apes in 24%; and other kinds of wildlife watching in 61%.

A total of 25 countries were mentioned by both the governmental institutions and tour operators as wildlife watching destinations. When comparing the public and private answers for the same country, it was noted that in a majority of cases (67%) those countries highlighted by the governmental institutions as wildlife watching destinations were also viewed by the tour operators. The biggest discrepancy between the answers of governmental institutions and tour operators related to the practice of bird watching. Bird watching was reported as available by governmental institutions in 92% of their countries, but was only highlighted by tour operators as being practiced in 71% of African countries. This discrepancy could be linked to the fact that although bird watching can be practiced in almost every African country, tour operators might often combine it with other activities rather than offer it as a specialised product and therefore the number of countries in which they view themselves as operating is smaller.

The other discrepancy between responses related the percentage of countries that were considered in the replies of governmental institutions as wildlife watching destinations that were not considered as such by tour operators. Upon review, this group of countries fall into two categories generally. Firstly, countries where despite the availability of natural resources, tourism
is in its initial stages of development and secondly, countries that are specialised in a different type of product, such as beach and sun holidays.

All in all, 96% of the 148 tour operators participating offer safari tours; 56% offer tours focusing on the observation of great apes; 57% offer marine wildlife tours; 80% offer bird watching tours; 48% offer special wildlife tracking tours; and 36% other kinds of tours.

The results of the survey show that those countries in which a higher number of tour operators are active, are countries that are already known as wildlife watching destinations. Between 54%-61% of the 148 participating tour operators offer wildlife watching tourism products in each of the following countries: Botswana, Kenya, Namibia, South Africa and Tanzania. Data indicates that the main wildlife watching product are safari tours, offered by 55% of the tour operators, followed by bird watching (offered by 31%) and tours for the observation of marine wildlife, currently offered by 16% (this product is not available in Botswana).

Countries where wildlife watching tours are operated by 22%-50% of the participating tour operators are Madagascar, Malawi, Mozambique, Rwanda, Uganda, Zambia and Zimbabwe. For this second cluster of countries, the main product is also safari, which is being offered by 22% of the tour operators, followed by bird watching (offered by 18%) and tours for the observation of great apes which is offered by 11% (this product is only available in Rwanda and Uganda).

Between 5% and 18% of the tour operators offer wildlife watching tourism products in Congo, Ethiopia, Lesotho, Mauritius, Morocco, Senegal, Seychelles and Swaziland. Nineteen more countries are mentioned as wildlife watching destinations. This leaves out only 10 of the 49 UNWTO Member States in Africa without being mentioned as destinations for wildlife observation for the participating tour operators. However, it is important to note that this last group includes post-conflict countries and countries with very limited tourism development.
3.1.2. Locating wildlife watching tourism

When answering the question “does wildlife watching in your country/during your tours take place in protected areas?” a total of 96% of the participating governmental institutions and tour operators replied positively. Additionally, when listing the top five destinations for wildlife watching in their respective country, governmental institutions refer almost exclusively to protected areas. Some tour operators mention that wildlife watching tourism also takes place on private and communal lands, but to a much lesser extent.

From a sub-regional perspective, it is interesting to note that most of the activities of the 148 participating tour operators are taking place in East Africa (90% of the tour operators operate in the sub-region) and Southern Africa (66% of the tour operators operate in the sub-region). In both sub-regions, the main products offered are safari followed by bird watching. The third most popular products are the observation of the great apes in East Africa and marine wildlife watching for Southern Africa. These two sub-regions also obtained the highest number of replies from their respective governmental institutions when they were asked to highlight the resources available in their countries (80% and 73% respectively); a correlation which could reflect the efforts of both the regions to create an enabling framework for the development of wildlife watching tourism.

West and Central African governmental institutions were asked if wildlife watching tourism takes place in their respective country, the replies were 73% and 44% respectively that wildlife watching was taking place. However, as tour operators confirm this only with 14% and 19% respectively for the sub-regions, these figures can suggest rather the commitment of governmental institutions from West and Central Africa to opt for and develop wildlife watching tourism, which has not yet made it into the distribution channels.

In the Northern African sub-region no governmental institutions and only 2% of the tour operators mentioned wildlife watching tourism as a product on offer. This coincides with the fact that Northern African destinations are traditionally placing greater efforts in the development of other tourism products such as beach and sun as well as cultural tourism.

3.1.3. Wildlife watching takes place in combination with other tourism activities

National tourism authorities were asked about the opportunities to combine wildlife watching with other activities in their countries as well as about the types of activities that are normally combined with wildlife watching through a multiple choice question including the following options: a) Resort; b) Adventure sports; c) Fishing; d) Cultural heritage; e) Nature-related activities; f) Homestay; g) Volunteering; h) Others. Additionally, tour operators were asked about the additional activities that are included in their wildlife tours.

A total of 23 governmental replies were received for this question out of which 90% indicate that wildlife watching tourism is indeed being combined with other activities. Most commonly wildlife watching is combined with nature-related activities (85%), followed by cultural heritage (70%) and resort/beach holidays (65%). Fishing, adventure sports such as dune surfing or kayaking.
Homestay and volunteering are less frequently mentioned as activities typically combined with wildlife watching tours.

For the 148 participating tour operators, the most important additional activities included in their tours are cultural visits (history, architecture, tribal and village culture, wine tasting, city tours etc.), other nature-related and adventure/sports activities (including mountaineering, hiking, trekking, 4x4 drives, mountain biking, golf, scuba diving, snorkelling, kayaking, canoeing, white water rafting, etc.).

**Case Studies (1)**

**Bird watching in South Africa**

In 1997, a quantitative study on avitourism to South Africa conservatively estimated that the country received between 11,400 and 21,200 birdwatchers per year which contributed US$ 12 to 26 million to the South African economy (Turpie & Ryan 1998 cited after Biggs 2011 et al.). South Africa is a well-known bird watching destination with a diversity of bird habitats and a high number of endemic species. Since 1997, there has been a significant increase in bird watching tourism in South Africa, reflected in the increasing number of tour operators specializing in birding and the number of bird watching tourism products being offered. The market has undergone considerable growth and the number of bird watching tourists and revenues generated by this market segment have only continued to increase to date. The development of birding tourism has been promoted by community projects supported by NGOs from the tourism sector. Currently, there are more opportunities for small business development along birding routes, which contributes to the creation of jobs for local communities (e.g. local birding guides) and supports conservation. (Biggs et al. 2011)
**Mountain Gorillas in Uganda**

In the Bwindi Forest National Park in Uganda, mountain gorilla families that are accustomed to humans can be visited by small tourist groups for one hour with a special guide. The permit to visit a gorilla family costs between US$ 500 and 700 per person. The visits to a single gorilla family that is visited by 10 tourists in a day generates between US$ 5,000 and 7,500 per day. Over a year's time, visits to this same family can generate up to about US$ 500,000 per year (visits are not made every day). The total income of gorilla visits in the Bwindi Forest National Park is about US$ 15 million per year. Additionally, a similar amount is spent by the tourists on accommodation, transport and other services. (Lengefeld 2013)

---

**Kichwa Tembo Masai Mara Tented Camp, Kenya**

The tented camp Kichwa Tembo Masai Mara is located on the Masai Mara Nature Reserve in the remote western Mara in Kenya’s southwest. The main attractions are the year-round concentration of wildlife and the camp’s location on the route of the Great Migration. As it is a private concession land, bush walks and night drives are allowed. The tented camp offers considerable luxury for a maximum of 80 guests. The camp has about 200 employees, 70% of whom are locals from the Masai Mara region. An average of 60% of the fruits, vegetables and other farm products that are consumed are obtained from local suppliers. The camp also supports local schools, reforestation, environmental education, health, and anti-AIDS programs. The camp generates total annual revenues of US$ 8 to 10 million, of which US$ 1.5 million is paid directly to local communities for the lease fee, salaries and purchases of local products. (Lengefeld 2013)

---

**Marine turtle observation**

In 2004, a WWF study analysed the non-consumptive use of marine turtles for observation in 13 locations in the tropics and subtropics of Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Caribbean. In nine of these locations this activity is considered a major revenue generator while in the other four locations is only one of many attractions. The gross-revenue attributed to marine turtle observations was calculated by multiplying the average tourist expenditure by the number of tourists that participated in this activity. The analysis included all expenditures (food, accommodation, souvenirs, transport and others) made by tourists during their stay at the turtle-watching site. The costs of turtle observation tours were relatively low as little transport and no special equipment were needed. On the other hand, tourists needed to travel to remote beaches and the excursions were undertaken mainly at night, which generates higher travel costs.

At the nine locations where marine turtles were the major attraction, the study showed revenues generated from US$ 41,000 to US$ 6.7 million per site per year, with an average of US$ 1.7 million per year at a single site. The sites employed anywhere from 30 to 1,280 tour guides, and the hostel/resort owners and their employees received direct economic benefits from the turtle-
watching tourism. At the four destinations where turtles are only one of many attractions, the revenue from turtle observation ranged from US$ 3,000 to US$ 106,000 per year with an average of US$ 41,000 per year. (Troëng/Drews 2004)

3.2. Importance of wildlife watching tourism and its main beneficiaries

3.2.1. Nature, national parks and wildlife are among the most important assets for wildlife watching destinations

To better understand the perceived importance of wildlife watching tourism in the African countries surveyed, the national tourism authorities were asked both “how important is wildlife for tourism in your country?” and “is wildlife watching tourism a valuable source of income for your country?” In response, a total of 24 replies were received, out of which 79% state that wildlife watching tourism is “very important” for their countries; 17% state that it is “important” for their countries. 79% found that wildlife watching is a valuable source of income.

National tourism authorities\(^{30}\) and tour operators were also asked to highlight the degree of importance for the visitor/customer of the following items: a) Nature; b) National Parks; c) Wildlife; d) Cultural sites; e) Contact with local community; f) Beaches; g) Luxury hotels; h) Shopping; i) Nightlife; j) Good/exotic food; k) Adventure; l) Sports; m) Wellness; n) Exotic destination; o) Handicrafts.

The 25 governmental replies received reveal that nature, national parks, wildlife, adventure and cultural sites are among the most important assets for the visitors to their countries (rated as “very important” by 84%, 76%, 72%, 54% and 48% of respondents, respectively). Also “important” but to a lesser extent, are beaches (43%), handicrafts (38%), good/exotic food (26%), the contact with local communities (29%). Exotic destinations, shopping, nightlife, wellness and sports are not so important for the tourists from the perspective of the governmental institutions. One participant also mentioned in the comments section that security is an important issue for tourists.

Tour operators were asked the same questions. The 147 replies received from tour operators show that 95% of the respondents evaluate wildlife, nature and national parks as “very important” for their customers (rated 95%, 92% and 87% respectively). Culture, contact with local communities, adventure, exotic destinations, good/exotic food and exotic destinations get high rankings as well (36%, 31%, 31%, 27% and 25% respectively). Beaches, luxury hotels and handicrafts range in the middle, while the majority evaluates shopping, wellness, sports and nightlife as “not so important” or “not at all important”.

24 Chapter 3 – Analysis of the surveys
Chapter 3 – Analysis of the surveys

Importance of tourism assets for visitors
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3.2.2. Wildlife watching tourism benefits a wide range of stakeholders, especially national parks, local tourism providers and the local community

National tourism authorities were asked about who benefits from wildlife watching tourism through a multiple choice question, which gave the following options: a) Local communities; b) Local tour operators; c) Local tourism service providers; d) Other local providers; e) Local tourism authorities; f) Local governments; g) National tour operators; h) National hotel chains; i) National Parks; j) National tourism authorities; k) National governments; l) International tour operators; m) International hotel chains.

The 26 governmental institutions that responded indicated that there is a wide range of beneficiaries from wildlife watching tourism in their countries (the majority selected an average of eight different beneficiary categories from the 13 options proposed). National parks and local tourism providers are mentioned most frequently as beneficiaries (both by 85% of respondents), but also local communities (73%), national tour operators (69%) and other local providers (69%). Between 50% and 58% of the participants state that national and local governments, national tourism authorities, international tour operators and national hotel chains benefit as well. Local tourism authorities are mentioned by 35%, and international hotel chains by 23% of the participants.

Governmental institutions were also requested to indicate whether local communities “receive direct and/or indirect benefits” from wildlife watching tourism by selecting among the following replies: a) Supply of food and beverages; b) Supply of cultural goods and services; c) Supply of other goods and services; d) Proportion of national park fees; e) Proportion of taxes/licenses related to tourism.
The replies from the majority of the 47 governmental institutions that answered this question indicate that local communities are involved in wildlife watching tourism and obtain direct (72%) and indirect (82%) benefits from it. In most cases, local communities provide different goods and services directly to the tourists, cultural goods and services being the most important ones for the participating governmental institutions (mentioned in 88% of the cases), followed by food and beverages (68%) and other goods and services (59%). With regard to indirect benefits, 35% of the responses from participating governmental institutions reported that communities get a proportion of national park fees; 13% mention the proportion of tourism-related taxes or licenses as an indirect benefit for communities, and; 46% of the replies list other indirect benefits. For instance:

- Community development programmes, e.g. education, health, youth, SME development, ecotourism, alternative livelihoods;
- Establishment of infrastructure like water supply and access roads to parks in remote areas;
- Tourism concessions areas allowing for the establishment of self-employed/SME tourism businesses;
- Nature conservation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direct benefits</th>
<th>Indirect benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supply of food and beverages</td>
<td>They get a proportion of national park fees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply of cultural goods and services (souvenirs, handicrafts, village visits, events, festivals etc.)</td>
<td>They get a proportion of taxes/licenses related to tourism.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply of other goods and services (clothes, construction material, medicinal plants etc.)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directly involved respondents, n=34</td>
<td>Indirectly involved respondents, n=48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 68% Supply of food and beverages
- 88% Supply of cultural goods and services
- 59% Supply of other goods and services
- 35% Direct beneficiaries
- 13% Indirect beneficiaries
- 13% Others
- 46% Direct beneficiaries
- 13% Indirect beneficiaries
3.2.3. Wildlife watching offers a wide range of employment areas for the local community

Governmental institutions were requested to indicate whether “local communities are employed by tourism service providers that offer wildlife watching tours in your country” and the type of jobs that they are offered through the following multiple choice options: a) Accommodation; b) Restaurants; c) Tour guides; d) Local tour operators; e) Transport; f) Porters; g) Craftsmen; h) Rangers; and i) Others.

A total of 48 governmental institutions replied to this question and 75% of those responses state that members of local communities are employed within the wildlife watching tourism sector. Where the response indicates local community involvement, the most important employment areas are tour guiding (86%), accommodation (83%), restaurants (75%), craftsmen (72%) and rangers (70%). To a lesser extent opportunities for work are provided in transport companies and with local tour operators (61% and 58%). Porters are mentioned by only a small number of participants (36%) but this may be due to the fact that porters are only required for certain forms of tourism that involve challenging and/or overnight trekking in remote areas, e.g. tracking of primates in dense rainforests. Other forms of employment mentioned by the participants are cultural performances, jobs in accounting, security, outreach and communication.

Additionally, tour operators were asked to list the local services that they commonly include in their wildlife watching tours as well as those services that are typically used by their customers but not included in their tours through the following multiple choice answer: a) Accommodation, b) Restaurants; c) Food and beverages; d) Wellness; e) Transport; f) Tour guides; g) Inbound local tour operators; h) National park/protected area service; i) Cultural performances; j) Others.

The responses from the 146 tour operators that replied to this question mention that their wildlife watching tours commonly include accommodation (99%), transport (95%), tour guides (94%) and national park services (90%). Restaurants (64%), local tour operators (62%), cultural performances (58%) and food and beverages (47%) are included to a lesser extent. Wellness services (e.g. beauty treatments, massages) and other services are not commonly included in the tours. Among the other services listed by the participants are sports and adventure activities, meet and greet services, special wildlife permits, luggage service, souvenirs, motorcycle rent and trophy fees.
On the other hand, with regards to the services that their customers typically use in addition to their tour package, all the listed services are mentioned by fewer participants. This could imply that local services are booked through the tour operators rather than purchased by the tourists on-site. Cultural performances/ souvenirs (66%), wellness (63%) and food and beverages (47%) get the highest numbers, followed by restaurants (33%), local tour operators (13%) and national park services (12%). Other services typically used by customers of the participating tour operators but not included in the packages are sports and adventure activities, education, homestay, tips, porter fees and laundry.

### 3.3. Economic dimension of wildlife watching tourism

#### 3.3.1. Efforts are ongoing in protected areas to monitor wildlife watching tourists, but results are not yet consistent

All governmental institutions were requested to indicate whether they monitor the numbers of wildlife watching tourists through any or all of the following multiple choice options: a) Entrance tickets; b) Official registration forms; c) Surveys; d) Tourist information point; e) Others.

A total of 47 governmental institutions provided an answer and 81% report that numbers of wildlife watching tourists are monitored, in all cases by official registration, entrance tickets sold for protected areas, monitoring tourist information points or similar records. Nevertheless, only six of the national level governmental institutions entered information on the results of these activities and further research would need to be undertaken to ensure their comparability.
Additionally, national and local protected area and wildlife conservation agencies were asked the question “do tourists visit your national park mainly for wildlife watching or do they come for other activities?” offering the following multiple choice options: a) 100% of visitors come to observe wildlife; b) Visitors come to observe wildlife and for other nature-related activities; c) Visitors come mainly for other nature-related activities.

A total of 24 national and local wildlife and conservation agencies provided an answer 38% reporting that 100% of the visitors to protected areas come to observe wildlife; 54% visitors come to observe wildlife and for other nature-related activities, and; 8% visitors come mainly for other nature-related activities.

In the absence of regular statistical records of the number of wildlife watching tourists, the number of protected area visitors and receipts is valuable information for the evaluation of the importance of wildlife watching tourism for a country or a destination and therefore the questionnaires addressed to governmental institutions included detailed questions in this regard.

Governmental institutions from 14 countries entered data on the number of protected area visitors and receipts. Based on the results, three groups of countries can be distinguished according to their visitor numbers:

1. Countries with a major number of visitors in protected areas: between 2 and 5 million visitors per year. It is estimated that these countries have receipts up to US$ 90 million. (Kenya, South Africa)

2. Countries with a medium number of visitors in protected areas: between 100,000 and over 500,000 visitors per year. It is estimated that they have receipts between US$ 2 and 15 million. (Ethiopia, Lesotho, Swaziland, Tanzania, Uganda and Zimbabwe)

3. Countries with a limited number of visitors in protected areas: between 1,000 and 90,000 visitors per year. It is estimated that they have receipts between US$ 20,000 and 700,000 per year. (Burkina Faso, Chad, Cote d'Ivoire, Democratic Republic of Congo, Ghana, Niger)

According to the survey replies, protected area receipts from the 14 above-mentioned countries would total US$ 142 million per year. When using the total number of visitors in combination with the average entry fees provided for the same calculation, the total protected area receipts for the same countries would add up to US$ 168 million per year.

It should be noted that the replies related to protected area visitors and receipts of protected areas are spread over a very large range. This could be linked to the different circumstances of the countries participating. Nevertheless, further research would be required to validate and complement these data and therefore, only estimations are being presented.
3.3.2. Wildlife watching represents 80% of the total annual sales of trips to Africa and sales are increasing

The tour operators were asked to describe their business performance to provide information about the following items: a) the number of tours sold that includes wildlife watching; b) the number of customers on tours that include wildlife watching; c) the percentage of their product portfolio that wildlife watching tours represent; d) their annual sales, and; e) the sales trends.

From the participating 148 tour operators, depending on the question, between 105 and 123 entered data related to the number of tours, customers and percentage of their product portfolio that wildlife watching represents. In total, the tour operators participating represented more than 26,500 tours per year, with the biggest seller selling 3,000 and the smaller seller selling 1 tour per year. The average tours sold is 181 tours per year per tour operator. However, this figure is not representative for the whole sample of respondents due to the difference in sizes of the tour operating companies (83% of the respondents are MSMEs) and therefore, the data has been split depending on the size of the tour operator in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Micro</th>
<th>Small</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Large</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tours sold including wildlife</td>
<td>4,076</td>
<td>9,656</td>
<td>7,337</td>
<td>4,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of wildlife tours out of all tours sold with Africa as a destination</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of tour operators replying</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of tours sold annually per operator</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>721</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Participating tour operators sold tours to more than 144,000 customers per year. The range started as low as 2 customers and reached 13,500 customers per year per tour operator, with an average of 1,203 customers per year per tour operator. In the following, data is provided related to the size of the tour operating companies (82% of the respondents are MSMEs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Micro</th>
<th>Small</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Large</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Customers booking wildlife</td>
<td>17,167</td>
<td>50,621</td>
<td>44,135</td>
<td>25,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of wildlife tours out of all tours sold with Africa as a destination</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of tour operators replying</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average customers annually per operator</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>1,266</td>
<td>3,678</td>
<td>5,047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All in all, wildlife watching represents a high percentage of the participating tour operators’ product portfolio (73%) and clients (75%).

A total of 83 tour operators provided data on annual sales from wildlife watching tours, which totaled US$ 263 million. When analysing the annual revenue from wildlife watching tours by tour operator size, it was found out that out of the sample 52% are micro enterprises which have annual sales of US$ 47 million in total (average per company is US$ 1 million); 31% of the sample is formed by small enterprises which have annual total sales of US$ 92 million (average...
per company is US$ 3.5 million); 12% of the sample is composed by medium enterprises with annual sales of US$ 48 million (average per company is US$ 5 million), and; 5% of the sample is represented by large enterprise with annual sales adding up to US$ 70 million (average per company is US$ 17.5 million).

In total, wildlife watching tours represent 88% of the total annual revenues of trips to Africa for the participating tour operators. Interestingly, 20% of the participating tour operators sell only wildlife watching tours.

The majority (60%) of the 140 participating tour operator respondents state that the sales of wildlife watching tours have been increasing over the last five years. Another 24% find the situation stable and only 16% experienced a decrease in the wildlife watching tours sold in the same period. Some of the participants state that the reasons for decreased demand most probably link to the financial crisis and recession in North America and Europe. However, security issues, poaching and negative media coverage are also mentioned as factors influencing the decrease of arrivals.

### 3.3.4. The average price per person per day of a standard wildlife watching tour is US$ 243 and US$ 753 for a luxury wildlife watching tour

Tour operators were also asked to elaborate on the following key economic indicators: a) average size of groups; b) average length of stay; c) average tour price per day (excluding flights), and; d) average additional out-of-pocket spending per day.

Replies provided by the tour operators have been analysed by splitting them into the two main segments, i.e. standard tours and luxury tours. This segmentation was done based on data provided and validated with each operator. Key economic indicators were provided by 114 to 128 tour operators. 128 tour operators replied to the second round of consultations which intended to confirm some initial results.

Out of the 128 tour operators that participated in the second round of consultations, 42% are specialized in the “standard” segment while 28% are specialized in the “luxury” segment. Another 30% positioned themselves in both segments targeting customers from the “standard” and the “luxury” markets.

The data of the survey suggests that the average number of participants in a wildlife watching tour is 6 persons, though the number of participants can range from 1 to 30 persons. In the “standard” market segment the average number of participants per tour is 7 and can range from 2 to 30 persons. In the “luxury” market segment the average number of participants per tour is 5 and ranges from 1 to 24.

The average length of stay for a typical wildlife watching tour from the overall sample (128 tour operators) is 10 days. In the “standard” market segment the average length of stay is 11 days; the range starts at half a day and reaches up to 42 days. In the “luxury” market segment the average length ranges from a day and half to 18 days.
The average daily price (excluding flights) for a wildlife watching tour from the overall sample (128 tour operators) is US$ 433. In the “standard” market segment the average price per day for a wildlife watching tour is US$ 243 and ranges from US$ 86 to 500 per day. In the “luxury” market segment the average price per day of a wildlife watching tour is US$ 753 and ranges from US$ 179 to 2,500 per day.

As the average number of participants and the average length of stay for both the luxury and standard segments are very similar, it can be concluded that they are intrinsic characteristics to the wildlife watching product that do not necessarily relate to the level of comfort of the experience. The indicator that clearly differentiates the segments is the average daily price, and this clearly works to identify which market the tour operators are targeting. It is important to note that within the African region the prices for both the “standard” and the “luxury” segments vary in each country depending on the level of tourism development of the destination and the size of the market offer.

Based on the overall responses, the average daily additional out-of-pocket spending from the full sample (128 tour operators) is US$ 55. In the “standard” market segment the average the reported additional spending per day is US$ 44, with additional spending ranging from US$ 7 to 250 per day. In the “luxury” market segment the average additional spending per day is US$ 59 with a range of US$ 1 to US$ 104.

Case Studies (2)

Serengeti-Ngorongoro Circuit, Tanzania

According to a study conducted in 2009, the southern circuit at Serengeti-Ngorongoro receives 300,000 tourists per year on the 300 km stretch between Arusha and Serengeti. The total inbound tourism expenditure generated at this destination is US$ 500 million per year, which is more than half of Tanzania’s foreign exchange earnings from tourism. The price of a typical wildlife watching package is US$ 1,600 for 6 days/ 5 nights (US$ 320 per day). Additionally, tourists spend an average US$ 226 out-of-pocket (US$ 37/day).

Among the local tourism providers that benefit from this income are tour operators and providers of accommodation, parking, transport, cultural goods and services as well as food and beverages. Along the safari circuit there are about 3,500 crafts and souvenir stalls that employ 7,000 sellers and 21,000 crafters. About US$100 million per year (19% of the earnings) are considered pro-poor, meaning that they reach local people via wages and tips when they are employed by tourism providers. Furthermore, local small producers provide about half of the food.
consumed at the circuit. The local population obtains indirect benefits from tourism through funds allocated by the protected area management to the communities.

Together with the second part on Kilimanjaro tourism, the 2009 study reveals that Tanzania captures about half of the total value of the global value chain for a package holiday sold in Europe. The great majority of the inbound tour operators and tourism providers are owned by Tanzanians. Foreign companies are not common but pay significantly higher wages than local companies. The benefits of tourism at Serengeti-Ngorongoro could be enhanced by establishing better linkages between accommodations and local food producers as well as capacity building to foster local employment in the tourism sector and to increase the margins of the craft sector. (Steck/ODI 2009)

**Economic Impact of Nature Tourism in Zambia**

In Zambia, tourism is one of the four identified essential sectors for sustainable development. Yet, the economic impact of nature tourism has been underestimated. In 2005, tourism was characterised by a small and fragmented private sector, inconsistent policies, weak incentive structures, poor business climate, limited fiscal support of the tourism sector and lacking financial resources of the Zambia Wildlife Authority and the Ministry of Tourism. Even under such unfavourable circumstances, a World Bank study showed that the economic impact of nature tourism is significantly higher than previously perceived.

In 2005, only 26% of international tourist arrivals were nature-tourists, but these 176,000 visitors realised an export value of tourist spending of US$ 194 million which is 3.1% of the direct GDP. Summing up direct and indirect linkages, the 176,000 nature tourists contributed nearly 16% of Zambian exports and 6.5% of the GDP, more than 6% of wages and net income of unincorporated business, 7% of government revenues and nearly 10% of formal sector employment (54,000 formal jobs). The fiscal revenues generated in 2005 by international nature tourists visiting national parks were about US$ 5 to US$ 8 million, meaning that the revenues exceeded by far the US$ 1 million in funds allocated to the Zambia Wildlife Authority in the same year.

In 2007, approximately 206,000 international tourists (30% of overall international tourist arrivals) came to Zambia to experience the Victoria Falls, wildlife and nature-based activities. Considering the many challenges the tourism sector in Zambia was facing in 2005, the opportunities for developing nature and wildlife tourism and enhancing the benefits of tourism are growing. Tourism, and specifically nature and wildlife tourism, can be an important source of revenues and employment if appropriate revenue-sharing mechanisms are put in place enhancing the benefits for local communities and pro-poor impacts of tourism. (Hamilton et al. 2007)
3.4. Effects of poaching on tourism

3.4.1. Nature conservation and wildlife are managed but with many shortcomings

Governmental institutions and tour operators were requested to evaluate nature conservation and wildlife management in their countries or the countries in which they operate through a multiple choice answer: a) Very well managed; b) Well managed; c) Managed but there are many shortcomings; d) Poorly managed or not at all.

Of the 23 governmental institutions that answered this question, 57% of the responses indicate that nature conservation and wildlife are “managed but with many shortcomings”; 26% state “well managed”, 17% reply “very well managed”. “Poorly managed or not at all” was not mentioned in the replies.

Of the 144 tour operators that answered this question, 51% state that nature conservation and wildlife are “managed but with many shortcomings”, 31% reply “well managed”, 13% state “very well managed”, while 5% reply with “poorly or not at all”.

The quite similar assessment indicates that nature conservation and wildlife are equally important from a conservation but also tourism sector perspective. In their replies, many tour operators singled out poaching as the biggest threat to wildlife.

![Graph showing the responses to the question: Does poaching affect wildlife watching tourism?](image)

**Government institutions respond:**
- Yes: 20%
- No: 80%

**Tour operators respond:**
- Yes: 30%
- No: 70%
3.4.2. Poaching has a negative impact on the tourism experience

Governmental institutions were requested to indicate whether there are problems with poaching in their respective countries and which animals are being poached among the following: a) Terrestrial mammals; b) Marine wildlife; c) Birds; d) Others. Additionally, both governmental institutions and tour operators answered the question “do you consider poaching as an issue that affects wildlife watching tourism?” and tour operators were requested to indicate the “difficulties encountered during tours because of poaching activities”.

Out of the 46 governmental institutions that replied to the first question, 93% confirm that there are problems with poaching in their countries or in their protected areas. The majority of the governmental institutions state that most commonly terrestrial mammals are poached (70%). Marine wildlife and birds are threatened to a much lesser extent (indicated by 25% and 30% of the participants respectively). Other species were mentioned by 5% of the governmental institutions replying.

Additionally, out of the 46 governmental institutions and the 145 tour operators that replied to these questions, 80% of the governmental institutions and 70% of the tour operators are stating that it is affecting wildlife watching tourism. The following explanations were provided:

- Poaching decreases wildlife populations and adversely affects ecosystems;
- Poaching has a deteriorating effect on the tourism experience: reduced wildlife populations and changes in animal behaviour diminish the chance to observe wildlife. Animals become shyer and are harder to find and approach;
- Bad sightings occur (carcasses, rhinos without horns, marked animals, slaughtered and living animals on sale) that significantly affect the tourism experience;
- Poaching threatens security. Shootings in the parks, no-go areas, warning signs, encounters with poachers and armed anti-poaching patrols make tourists feel unsafe or are put in actual danger;
- It creates a bad image of a country or a destination and therefore fewer tourists visit the places affected by poaching;
- Anti-poaching measures are a big financial burden for the protected areas and countries in general;
- Poaching results in lower numbers of tourists, reduces tourism receipts and affects the long-term sustainability of tourism.

While a majority of the tour operators state that tourism is affected by poaching, only 26% of the participants report direct impacts on their operations, e.g. additional costs for extra security measures, the necessity to change itineraries because of blocked roads or closed areas and a negative impact on the tourists’ satisfaction as wildlife observation is less guaranteed and bad sightings as described above occur.
3.4.3. Tour operators can play an important role in raising awareness of the issue and (co-)funding anti-poaching initiatives

Governmental institutions and tour operators were requested to indicate whether they “are involved or fund anti-poaching activities” and/or “distribute specific information on poaching”. Additionally, tour operators were asked whether their “customers are concerned with poaching”.

A total of 47 governmental institutions provided responses with 77% indicating that they are involved with anti-poaching measures. For instance: patrolling and law enforcement (prosecution), awareness raising campaigns, environmental education and working with communities are important anti-poaching measures. Other actions are gaining the support of other authorities, participating in policy making at regional and international levels and the gathering intelligence and research on poaching. Many participants indicate a variety of measures or state that there is a broad anti-poaching strategy in place.

The 26% of governmental institutions that replied that are not engaging in such measures are in most cases tourism ministries or national tourism authorities that are not responsible for wildlife conservation. Otherwise, all but one protected area/wildlife conservation authority state that they engage in anti-poaching activities.

Of the 145 tour operators that replied, 49% state that they fund anti-poaching initiatives; 51% do not engage in such measures; 34% of the tour operators know that their suppliers are involved in anti-poaching support; 58% are not aware of such initiatives, and; 8% indicate that their suppliers do not fund anti-poaching.

A total of 45 governmental institutions mentioned that 42% do not distribute information on poaching however 22% state that this is planned for the future. Looking at the different types of institutions, the answers are the same: a third of the tourism ministries and a third of the protected area/wildlife conservation authorities distribute information on poaching to visitors and/or the general public, more than half of them do not distribute such information or are only currently developing it.

Of the 145 tour operators that replied, the majority (58%) does not distribute information on poaching. 23% say they use a variety of media and measures to inform their clients (websites, flyers, travel information, newsletters, press releases, give-aways, brochures etc.). In many cases, the tour guides inform the tourists about the topic. Sometimes tourists visit an education centre that informs them about poaching. Some tour operators distribute information materials developed by nature conservation NGOs. About 40 NGOs, institutions and anti-poaching projects and other organisations are mentioned in the responses to the survey.

32% of 146 participants state that their customers actively ask about poaching, another 51% say that the customers express their concern when the topic comes up – depending on the tour operator this was found to happen very often (70%) or occasionally (30%). Only 16% report not experiencing concerns of the tourists regarding the topic.
The extensive comments of the participating tour operators reveal their deep concern with the topic of poaching (see box below).

### Tour operators’ comments (selection)

“Africa without wildlife would deprive all TO the basis of their existence. Semi-captive settings are no solution, wildlife needs to be at free range in big game parks.” (Germany, translated from German)

“Wildlife conservation should play a bigger role for the tourism sector and the revenue it generates. (…)” (Germany, translated from German)

“(…) It is terrible that human beings with intelligence can be so barbaric at this day and age. (…) PLEASE DO something to save the rhinos and elephants.” (South Africa)

“We are willing to support anti-poaching activities but only if the government gets serious with the whole issue. Without government intervention, it will be a fruitless effort (…).” (Tanzania)

“The increased media coverage about poaching has been a matter for our clients. In many cases they have seen evidence of poaching (…) with skittish animals, carcasses or areas devoid of game.” (Tanzania)

“Great concern with the elevated rhino and elephant poaching activity occurring in Africa, this will ultimately impact the wildlife viewing opportunities as well as devastate the tourism industry.” (USA)
Conclusions and recommendations

The review of the literature and case studies reveal that while there are numerous studies, projects and publications analyzing wildlife watching tourism, more is needed in terms of measuring its value. Although the economic value of wildlife watching tourism is usually referred to as important, the reviewed literature focuses mainly on how the economic value could be evaluated and points out that there are no valid data readily available for such analysis. Additionally, an estimation of the overall value of the segment based only on the available case studies of specific destinations are not broadly representative and can be misleading given the different levels of tourism development in Africa. However, although there are ongoing efforts being carried out to monitor data that could be relevant for estimating the economic value of the wildlife watching tourism sector, such as monitoring the number of arrivals and receipts of protected areas, these efforts are often not consistent and commonly lead to inconclusive analysis.

The main findings of this briefing paper are based on the primary data gathered through the surveys carried out among national tourism authorities, protected area and wildlife conservation authorities, individual protected areas and international and African tour operators. In a majority of cases, the replies of governmental institutions are aligned with the replies of tour operators and in the cases where alignment did not happen, an interesting debate on the links between governmental perception and market presence of destinations was triggered. The representative response to the survey and the correlation of replies from public and private sectors supports most of the findings well.

The results of the survey reflect the serious concern of both governmental institutions and tour operators related to the poaching crisis and its negative impact on tourism. It is clear this criminal activity is viewed as a threat to the long-term sustainability of tourism and potentially jeopardizes the development opportunities linked to the sector. Moreover, the feedback from the participating governmental institutions and tour operators confirm that wildlife watching is a very important segment of tourism for most African countries as well as a profitable one, with potential to benefit the local community. In fact, local communities appear to be involved in wildlife watching tourism in most of African countries, mainly through employment in accommodation, restaurants and guiding. Communities also function as suppliers of goods and services, primarily food and beverages and receive sometimes indirect tourism benefits through redistribution of revenues from protected area entrance fees and funds allocated to community development projects. Additionally, the annual revenues of wildlife watching tours represent 80% of the total annual revenues of trips to Africa for the participating tour operators. The replies provided indicate that revenues are expected to grow further. On average, the annual turnover of a micro tour operator is US$ 1 million; US$ 3,5 million for a small tour operator, US$ 5 million for a medium tour operator; and US$ 17,5 million for a large tour operator.
According to the survey, wildlife watching tourism takes place mainly in protected areas and nature, national parks and wildlife are among the most important assets for wildlife watching destinations. Safari appears to be the main kind of wildlife watching. Safari tours are being operated by 96% of the participating tour operators and the sub-regions that are most frequented for safari tours are East and Southern Africa, where countries which are already known as wildlife watching destinations are located. From both sub-regions also higher numbers of governmental replies were received; an indication that here enabling frameworks for the development of wildlife watching tourism are already in place. It is to be noted that a great number of governmental replies were also received from the Central and West African sub-regions, which indicates the existing will to further develop wildlife watching tourism in these destinations. However, the number of tour operators active in Central and West Africa is still small.

Bird watching appears to be the second most practiced type of wildlife watching which can be observed almost in every African country and is being offered by 80% of the tour operators. The operation of bird watching tours mainly takes place in top safari destinations and indicates that bird watching could be offered more frequently in combination with other activities than as a specialised product. In fact, the results of the survey point out that wildlife watching tourism is normally combined with nature-related activities, cultural heritage and resort/beach holidays. In the third place and with variations depending of the region come marine wildlife tours and the observation of great apes, followed by special tracking of wildlife, which are especially important for countries that are not classic safari destinations but do play a role as wildlife watching destinations.

Through the analysis of the data it was possible to identify key characteristics and economic indicators related to the segment of wildlife watching tourism in Africa. A typical wildlife watching tour involves a group of 6 persons, lasts 10 days and has an average daily price per person per day of US$ 433 as well as involves out-of-pocket expenses of US$ 55 per person per day. These indicators were also analysed per market segment (standard, luxury) and it was noted that neither the size of the group or average length of stay would register remarkable variations for the different segments. In this regard, the analysis seems to indicate that the average size of the group and length of stay are intrinsic characteristics to a wildlife watching product which do not necessarily relate to the level of comfort of the experience. The variation in the average price per person per day is on the other hand significant: US$ 753 for a luxury package and US$ 243 for a standard package. From the participating sample, 42% of the participating tour operators offer standard wildlife watching tours, 28% are specialised in luxury tours and 30% target both standard and luxury clients.

Data on protected area visitors and receipts from 14 countries was used, were conclusive data was provided, and indicates that wildlife watching tourism is generating a considerable amount of revenues for the countries where it is taking place. The protected area receipts of these 14 countries totaled US$ 142 million per year. As this figure relates to only a small number of countries, one can assume that protected area receipts are indeed much higher. Guidance and capacity building for a more consistent monitoring of protected area visitors and receipts as well as a framework for their analysis are needed. In this regard, the development of a model for the structured integration and evaluation of available data, in order to harness it for an overall
assessment of the economic value of wildlife watching tourism in Africa, which would connect data from protected areas with tour operators’ performance, would be useful.

A majority of the protected area authorities participating in the survey is involved in anti-poaching measures. Tourism authorities are involved only to a minor extent and the majority does not distribute information on poaching. At the side of the participating tour operators, about half of them is funding anti-poaching initiatives or engages in nature conservation project. Only a few inform their customers on the issue. Although the involvement in anti-poaching initiatives is not very extensive yet, the survey shows that there is potential for mobilizing the tourism sector in anti-poaching campaigns since they can play a key role in awareness raising and potentially (co-) finance anti-poaching initiatives.

Finally, this briefing paper is to be seen as a first step towards measuring the economic value of wildlife watching tourism in Africa and defining the role of the tourism sector in the fight against poaching. The exercise has succeeded in identifying key economic indicators and characteristics of wildlife watching tourism in African countries. Despite the limitations, the findings support the potential of the tourism sector to advance its contribution to the fight against poaching in Africa and confirm the importance of wildlife watching tourism for the sustainable development of the region.
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Annexes
List of contributions

The following organizations and institutions contributed to the briefing paper by providing case studies, other publications and expertise:

- Adventure Travel Trade Association (ATTA)
- African Travel and Tourism Association (ATTA)
- Association of British Travel Agents (ABTA)
- Convention of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (UNEP/CMS)
- Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna (CITES)
- Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ)
- Federal Agency for Nature Conservation of Germany (BfN)
- International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICCWC)
- International Fund for Animal Welfare (IFAW)
- International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD)
- International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN)
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC)
- World Wildlife Fund (WWF)

List of tour operator associations

The following tour operators associations provided support to circulate the survey invitation:

- ABTA, UK
- Tour Operators’ Initiative for Sustainable Tourism Development (TOI)
- Deutscher ReiseVerband e.V. (DRV), Germany
- Association of French Tour Operators (SETO/CETO)
- Netherlands Association of Travel Agents and Tour Operators (ANVR)
- The African Travel & Tourism Association (ATTA), UK
- Adventure Travel Trade Association (ATTA), USA
- American Society of Travel Agents (ASTA)
- Association of Danish Travel Agents and Tour Operators (Danmarks Rejsebureau Forening)
- Tanzania Association of Tour Operators
- Africa Travel Association, USA
- forum anders reisen e.V., Germany
- Association of Independent Tour Operators (AITO), UK
- Schweizerrischer Reise-Verband (SRV), Switzerland
- Association for the Promotion of Tourism in Africa (APTA), USA
- Association of Croatian Travel Agencies
- ASTOI Confindustria Viaggi (Associazione Tour Operator Italiani)

**List of participating tour operators**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Africa</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Other Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>Gavin Blair Safaris</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>Golden Okavango t/a Golden Africa</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>NATURALIA CAPA VERDE LDA.</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>Abeba Tours Ethiopia</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>ngondetour</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>AFRICAN QUEST SAFARIS LTD</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>ASILIA SAFARIS</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Eco Adventures Africa.</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Impact Adventure Travel</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Kent Tours &amp; Travel Ltd</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>OUTDOOR AFRICA</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>SIMBA HOLIDAYS</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>arp TRAVEL gROUP</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>ASISTEN Travel</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>Le Voyageur</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>SETAM</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>Rpss</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>Ulendo Safaris</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>ATC Namibia</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>Chameleon Holidays</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Company Name</td>
<td>Country Name</td>
<td>Company Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>Chameleon Safaris Namibia</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>KIBO GUIDES (TZ) LTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>Chameleon Safaris Namibia</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>Leopard Tours Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>E. Safaris &amp; Tours</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>Manyara Ranch Conservancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>Eagles Rock Tours &amp; Safaris</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>Melau Tours and Safaris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>Karibu Safari Namibia</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>Nomad Tanzania Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>Namib Enviro Tours cc</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>SAFARI MAKERS LTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>Sense of Africa and Wild Africa Travel, Tourvest</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>Safari Makers Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>Ultimate Safaris</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>Serengeti Balloon Safaris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>JOHNPAUL EZEANI</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>Summit Expeditions &amp; Nomadic Experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>AMAHORO TOURS</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>The African Footprint Co. Ltd (B2B Safaris)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>ATC-African Travel Concept (DMC)</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>The Map’s Edge Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Africa Geographic Travel</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>Wild Things Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>African Adventure Safaris</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>Wildlife (East Africa) Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Bushtracks Expeditions</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>Karibu Africa Safaris Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Kifara</td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>Natureways Safaris Pvt Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Mozambique Tourism</td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>Nyati Travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Roads to roam</td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>Zambezi Safari &amp; Travel Co.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Europe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Country Name</th>
<th>Company Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>Olymptours by Ratko Flajpan</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Thomas Cook AG Segment Continental Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Stella Travel</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Wikinger Reisen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Limpopo Travel</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>FollowMe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Kooromo Tours Ltd</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>BEST TOURS ITALIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>Kuoni</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Hotelplan Italia Spa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>Les Circuits Découverte by Club Med</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Il Diamante</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>REV VACANCES</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>NAAR Tour Operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Company Name</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Company Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>Vacance Transat (Transat France)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>SETTEMARI SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>Voyageurs du Monde</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>VIAGGI DELL'ELEFANTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>AST African Special Tours GmbH</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Viaggi del Mappamondo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Afrika à la Carte Reisen</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Bongo Asili Travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Albatros-Tours</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Kuoni Netherlands/NDTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Art of Travel GmbH</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Live To Travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Bikeworld Travel GmbH</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Mondi Reizen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Chamäleon Reisen GmbH</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>SNP Natuurneizen (SNP Nature Travel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>DER Touristik</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Travel Trend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Daktaritavel</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Travelhome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Djoser Reisen GmbH</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Vammonos Travels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>ETC Reisen Edutainment Travel Company</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>de Jong Intra Vakanties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Elangeni African Adventures</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>Zoom Travel - Tailor Made Tour Operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Escape tours GmbH</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>A Step Ahead S.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Globetrotter Select</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>STOHLER TOURS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Jacana Tours</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>africa design travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Karbu Safaris GmbH</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Baobab Travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Klipspringer-Tours GmbH</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Jacada Travel Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>MAKALALI - African Exclusive Tours</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Marketing Worldwide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>S.A.LANDPROGRAMM</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Natural Tours Safaris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>SA Travel</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Rainbow Tours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Severin Travel Africa</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Thomas Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Studiosus Reisen</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>TripAfrica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>TOUR VITAL</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Wildlife Trails</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

North America

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Company Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Goway Travel</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Infinite Safari Adventures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>David Mark Erickson Travel</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Journeys International</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Africa Adventure Consultants</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Timeless Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>AfricanMecca Inc</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Travcoa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Asia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Company Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>discovery tours and logistics</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>The Classic Safari Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of Sihnae Lee</td>
<td></td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Participation tour operators that do not offer trips to Africa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>El-çi Tours Malinska*</td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>Frodo d.o.o., Yacht Base travel agency*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>Hvar Touristik*</td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>Hvar Touristik*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>Katarina line*</td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>Katarina line*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>Lang International*</td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>Lang International*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>PENTA d.o.o.*</td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>PENTA d.o.o.*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>Zlatna Greda Ltd. tourist agency*</td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>Zlatna Greda Ltd. tourist agency*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>R.U.F Touristik GmbH*</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Rucksack Reisen*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Tour Exquisit*</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Tour Exquisit*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>Baltic tour*</td>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>Baltic tour*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Greener Pastures*</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Greener Pastures*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Navigator India *</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Navigator India *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Andean Lodges*</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Andean Lodges*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Available data on international tourism arrivals and receipts for African countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>International Tourist Arrivals (1000)</th>
<th>International Tourism Receipts (US$ million)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Algeria</td>
<td>2,070</td>
<td>2,395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Angola</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Benin</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>2,145</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAD</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte d'Ivoire</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Republic of the Congo</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Djibouti</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eritrea</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gambia</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea-Bissau</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>1,470</td>
<td>1,785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesotho</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>International Tourist Arrivals (1000)</td>
<td>International Tourism Receipts (US$ million)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>9,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>1,718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>1,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Sao Tome and Principe</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Seychelles</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>8,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Swaziland</td>
<td>1,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Togo</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>6,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>2,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Institution Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>Direction du Parc National de la Pendjari</td>
<td>National Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>Ministry of Tourism, Environment &amp; Wildlife</td>
<td>Tourism Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>Ministère de la Culture et du Tourisme</td>
<td>Tourism Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>Ministère du Commerce, de l'Industrie, des Postes et du Tourisme</td>
<td>Tourism Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>Ministry of Tourism and leisure</td>
<td>Tourism Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>General Directorate of Environment</td>
<td>National Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>Ministère de l'Environnement et des Ressources Halieutiques</td>
<td>Environment Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS) Programme Congo</td>
<td>National Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cote d'Ivoire</td>
<td>Ministère du Tourisme</td>
<td>Tourism Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Republic Congo</td>
<td>Administration Nationale du Tourisme</td>
<td>National Tourism Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Republic Congo</td>
<td>Institut Congolais pour la Conservation de la nature (ICCN)</td>
<td>National Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eritrea</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture</td>
<td>Agriculture Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>Ethiopian Wildlife Conservation Authority (EWCA)</td>
<td>National Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>Ministère des Mines, de l'Industrie et du Tourisme</td>
<td>Tourism Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gambia</td>
<td>Gambia Tourism Board</td>
<td>National Tourism Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>Bui National Park</td>
<td>National Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>Wildlife Division of Forestry Commission</td>
<td>National Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>Forestry Commission Wildlife Division</td>
<td>National Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>Ministry of Tourism, Culture &amp; Creative arts</td>
<td>Tourism Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>Office guinéen des Parcs et Réserves</td>
<td>National Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Kenya Wildlife Service</td>
<td>National Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Ministry of East African Affairs, Commerce and Tourism</td>
<td>Tourism Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>County Government of Migori</td>
<td>Others (County Government)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesotho</td>
<td>Sehlabathebe National Park</td>
<td>National Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesotho</td>
<td>Ministry of Tourism, Environment and Culture</td>
<td>Tourism Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>Department of National Parks and Wildlife</td>
<td>National Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Institution Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>Office Malien du Tourisme et de l'Hôtellerie (OMATHO)</td>
<td>National Tourism Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>Parc National du Diawling</td>
<td>National Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>Direction des Aires Protégées et du Littoral</td>
<td>National Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>Ministry of Tourism</td>
<td>Tourism Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>Ministère du Tourisme et de l'artisanat</td>
<td>Tourism Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>Direction des Parcs Nationaux: Parc National des îles de la madeleine</td>
<td>National Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>Ministère du Tourisme et des Transports Aériens</td>
<td>Tourism Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seychelles</td>
<td>Seychelles Islands Foundation</td>
<td>Local Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>National Tourist Board of Sierra Leone</td>
<td>National Tourism Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>CapeNature</td>
<td>Local Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Western Cape Nature Conservation Board trading as CapeNature</td>
<td>Local Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>South African National Parks</td>
<td>National Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>National Department of Tourism</td>
<td>National Tourism Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swaziland</td>
<td>Big Game Parks</td>
<td>National Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swaziland</td>
<td>Swaziland National Trust Commission</td>
<td>National Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swaziland</td>
<td>Swaziland Tourism Authority</td>
<td>National Tourism Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Uganda Wildlife Authority</td>
<td>National Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Ministry of Tourism Wildlife and Antiquities</td>
<td>Tourism Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>Tanzania National Parks</td>
<td>National Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>Wildlife Division</td>
<td>National Wildlife Conservation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>Tanzania Association of Tour Operators (TATO)</td>
<td>Others (Tour Operator Association)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>Zimbabwe Tourism Authority</td>
<td>National Tourism Authority</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Survey on Wildlife Watching Tourism in Africa:
Tourism Ministries and National Tourism Authorities Input

Introductory note

In support of the global efforts against wildlife poaching on the African continent, UNWTO is conducting a survey to evaluate the value of wildlife watching tourism in Africa. Your input is essential!

Tourism in Africa is by no means unaffected by the ongoing poaching crisis - wildlife watching is one of the main tourism draws of African countries, and as such a key contributor to the continent’s socio-economic development.

Providing additional support to the global efforts against poaching, UNWTO is mobilizing the international tourism community to gather further insight into the importance of wildlife for the sector. The results of the survey will be presented at the forthcoming UNWTO Regional Commission for Africa in April 2014 (Angola) and will be integrated into an extensive study on the economic value of wildlife watching tourism in Africa.

By giving your valuable insight, you will be supporting UNWTO efforts on the conservation of one of the most precious tourism attractions Africa has to offer – its unique wildlife.

Thank you very much for your cooperation!

Important note: In view of the relevance of the results of the current survey, it is highly recommended that this questionnaire be filled out by one or more high level officials and approved by the head of your national tourism administration. Please note that the printable version is offered first of all in order to help ministry and NTA officials to discuss the different aspects of the survey. If possible, please use the online version for answering the survey. If this is not possible, please print the pdf, fill in the questionnaire and send it to the following address via express courier:

World Tourism Organization (UNWTO)
Platz der Vereinten Nationen 1, D-53113 Bonn, Germany Telephone: +49 228 815 0555
1. What kind of wildlife watching can be realized in your country?

- Safari (big five and others)
- Great apes (chimpanzees, gorillas)
- Marine wildlife (including whale watching)
- Bird watching
- Special wildlife tracking
- Others: ________________________________________________

2. Please list the top five destinations for wildlife watching in your country!

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

3. Does the wildlife watching in your country mainly take place in protected areas (national parks, marine reserves etc.)?

- Yes
- No

If no, could you describe why not and where is wildlife watching taking place?

________________________________________________________________________

4. Is wildlife watching tourism often combined with other activities?

- Yes
- No

If yes, which other activities?

- Resort
- Adventure sports (e.g. dune boarding, kayaking) Fishing
- Cultural heritage (e.g. villages, archaeology, historic architecture, traditional markets) Nature-related activities (e.g. sight-seeing, hiking, trekking)
- Homestay
- Volunteering
- Others: ________________________________________________

5. Do you monitor numbers of wildlife watching tourists in your country?

- Yes
- No
If yes, how?

☐ Entrance tickets to protected areas (national parks, marine reserves etc.) and other wildlife sites

☐ Official registration forms (accommodation/airport related)

☐ Surveys

☐ Tourist information point

☐ Others: ____________________________________________

If you monitor the numbers through official registration forms: Can you indicate how many tourists are registered as wildlife watching tourists?

_______ wildlife watching tourists

If you conduct surveys, could you describe the nature of these surveys and the results gathered?

Nature of these surveys (e.g. carried out in the framework of which project, developed by whom etc.):

________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

Results (if possible please indicate the number of arrivals and receipts related to wildlife tourism):

________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

If you gather data at tourist information points, could you describe the monitoring activities taking place at the tourist information points and the results gathered?

Type of monitoring activities:

________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

Results (if possible please indicate the number of arrivals and receipts related to wildlife tourism):

________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

If you have other monitoring activities, which type of monitoring activities?

________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

Results (if possible please indicate the number of arrivals and receipts related to wildlife tourism):

________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

6. Could you estimate the percentage of your overall arrivals and receipts that wildlife watching represents in your country?

% of total arrivals

% of total receipts

7. Could you indicate the total amount of visitors and the overall receipts from the protected areas (national parks, marine reserves etc.) in your country?

Please indicate the total number of visitors to your protected areas:

_______ visitors
Please indicate the overall receipts from your protected areas:
Amount: ______  Currency: ______

8. What is the average entrance fee for tourists visiting protected areas (national parks, marine reserves etc.) in your country?
Amount: ______  Currency: _____

9. Are there other fees for wildlife watching tourism in your country?
☐ Yes, photography permits
   Could you indicate the average fee for a photography permit?
   Amount: ______  Currency: _____

☐ Yes, permit to visit specific wildlife places (e.g. gorillas)
   Could you indicate the average fee for permits to visit specific wildlife places?
   Amount: ______  Currency: _____

☐ No
☐ Yes, others: __________________________________________

10. Are local communities employed by tourism service providers that offer wildlife watching tourism in your country?
☐ Yes  ☐ No

If yes, where do they work or what is their job?
☐ Accommodation
☐ Restaurants
☐ Tour guides
☐ Local tour operators
☐ Transport
☐ Porters
☐ Craftsmen
☐ Others: __________________________________________

11. Are local communities directly involved in wildlife watching tourism in your country?
☐ Yes  ☐ No

If yes, which goods and services do these communities provide?
☐ Supply of food and beverages
   Supply of cultural goods and services (souvenirs, handicrafts, village visits, events, festivals etc.)
☐ Supply of other goods and services (clothes, construction material, medicinal plants etc.)
12. Which indirect benefits do communities obtain from wildlife watching tourism in your country?

- [ ] They get a proportion of national park fees.
- [ ] They get a proportion of taxes/licenses related to tourism.
- [ ] None
- [ ] Others: __________________________________________

13. From your point of view: Who benefits from wildlife watching tourism in your country?

- Local communities
- Local tour operators
- Local tourism service providers (accommodations, restaurants, transport, tour guides, craft sellers etc.)
- Other local providers (local retail, grocery stores, markets, craftsmen, construction companies etc.)
- Local tourism authorities
- Local governments
- National tourism authorities
- National governments
- National parks
- National hotel chains
- National tourism authorities
- The national governments
- International tour operators
- International tourism providers
- International hotel chains

14. Who benefits more from wildlife watching tourism in your country? Please create a ranking selecting 1 for benefits most and 5 for benefits least. Please do not choose the same ranking for different items.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Local communities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Local governments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Local tourism providers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. National government</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. National tourism providers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. International tourism providers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that the input for this question is in table form in the online questionnaire.
15. In your opinion, how important is wildlife for tourism in your country?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Important</th>
<th>Not so important</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. In your opinion, is wildlife watching tourism a valuable source of income for your country?

☐ Yes    ☐ No

17. From your experience: How do you evaluate nature conservation and wildlife management in your country?

☐ Very well managed.
☐ Well managed.
☐ Managed but there are many shortcomings.
☐ Poorly managed or not at all.
Comments:

________________________________________________________________________________________

18. Are there problems with poaching in your country?

☐ Yes    ☐ No

If yes, which animals are poached?

☐ Terrestrial mammals
  Can you list the most affected terrestrial mammal species?

_____________________________________________________________________________________

☐ Marine wildlife
  Can you list the most affected marine wildlife species?

_____________________________________________________________________________________

☐ Birds
  Can you list the most affected bird species?

_____________________________________________________________________________________

☐ Others: ____________________________________________________________________________

19. Do you consider poaching as an issue that affects wildlife watching tourism?

☐ Yes    ☐ No

If yes, why?

_____________________________________________________________________________________
20. Does your Ministry/Authority distribute specific information on poaching?
☐ Yes       ☐ No
☐ It is currently under development.

If yes, how often do awareness raising actions take place?
☐ Very often (once in a week)
☐ Often (once in a month)
☐ Occasionally (a few times per year)
☐ Rarely (once a year or less)

21. Is your Ministry/Authority involved in other type of anti-poaching measures?
☐ Yes       ☐ No

If yes, which ones?

22. From your experience, how important are the following items for the tourists visiting your country?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Important</th>
<th>Not so important</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Parks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with local community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxury hotels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nightlife</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good/exotic food</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adventure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exotic destination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handicrafts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
23. Please feel free to add any additional comments. Any information that you could share on available case studies related to wildlife watching tourism would be much appreciated.
Survey on Wildlife Watching Tourism in Africa:
Tour Operators Input

Introductory note

In support of the global efforts against wildlife poaching on the African continent, UNWTO is conducting a survey to evaluate the value of wildlife watching tourism in Africa. Your input is essential!

Tourism in Africa is by no means unaffected by the ongoing poaching crisis - wildlife watching is one of the main tourism draws of African countries, and as such a key contributor to the continent’s socio-economic development.

Providing additional support to the global efforts against poaching, UNWTO is mobilizing the international tourism community to gather further insight into the importance of wildlife for the sector. The results of the survey will be presented at the forthcoming UNWTO Regional Commission for Africa in April 2014 (Angola) and will be integrated into an extensive study on the economic value of wildlife watching tourism in Africa.

By giving your valuable insight, you will be supporting UNWTO efforts on the conservation of one of the most precious tourism attractions Africa has to offer – its unique wildlife.

Thank you very much for your cooperation!
Tour Operator Name: 

Based in (country): 

Please, indicate the number of employees working in your company: 

employees

1. Do you offer trips to Africa?
   Yes  No → please continue with question 18 on page 6

2. What kind of trips to Africa do you offer? (multiple answers possible)
   Package group tours (all-inclusive, organized tours with fixed dates, routes and activities)
   Tailor-made tours (package of individually selected routes and components)
   Only flight
   Flight & accommodation
   Only hotel

3. How important are the following products for your operations in Africa? Please create a ranking selecting 1 for the most frequently sold and 5 for the least frequently sold. Please do not choose the same ranking for different items.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beach/resort holidays</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife watching tours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature/adventure tours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural tours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business trips</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that the input for this question is in table form in the online questionnaire.

4. Do you offer wildlife watching tours?
   Yes  No → please continue with question 18 on page 6

5. What kind of wildlife watching tours do you offer? (multiple answers possible)
   Safari (big five and others)
   Great apes (chimpanzees, gorillas)
   Marine wildlife (including whale watching)
   Bird watching
   Special wildlife tracking
   Others: 

6. Which additional activities are included in your wildlife tours? (multiple answers possible)
   Resort
Adventure sports (e.g. dune boarding, kayaking)
Fishing
Cultural heritage (e.g. villages, archaeology, historic architecture, traditional markets)
Nature-related activities (e.g. sight-seeing, hiking, trekking)
Homestay
Volunteering
Our package tours are exclusively wildlife watching tours.
Others: ________________________________

7. In which countries do you offer wildlife watching tours? (multiple answers possible)

- Algeria
- Angola
- Benin
- Botswana
- Burkina Faso
- Burundi
- Cameroon
- Cape Verde
- Central African Republic
- Chad
- Congo
- Côte d'Ivoire
- Democratic Republic of Congo
- Djibouti
- Equatorial Guinea
- Eritrea
- Ethiopia
- Gabon
- Ghana
- Guinea
- Guinea-Bissau
- Kenya
- Lesotho
- Liberia
- Madagascar
- Malawi
- Mali
- Mauritania
- Mauritius
- Morocco
- Mozambique
- Namibia
- Niger
- Nigeria
- Sao Tome and Principe
- Senegal
- Seychelles
- Sierra Leone
- South Africa
- Sudan
- Swaziland
- United Republic of Tanzania
- Togo
- Tunisia
- Uganda
- Zambia
- Zimbabwe

Country 1: In which regions/towns/national parks do you offer wildlife watching tours?

Which main attractions in country 1? (multiple answers possible)

- Safari (big five and others)
- Great apes (chimpanzees, gorillas)
- Marine wildlife (including whale watching)
- Bird watching
- Special wildlife tracking
  Others:
In case you are working with local tour operators in country 1, please indicate the number:

_____ local tour operators

Please note: In the online questionnaire, there is space for listing more countries.

8. Does the wildlife watching during your tours mainly take place in protected areas (national parks etc.)?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If no, could you describe why not and where is wildlife watching taking place?

9. In order to give an overview of the dimension of wildlife watching tourism in Africa it would be very useful to know about your business performance.

   Please include all bookings that are either directly operated by your company or booked via your company and operated by a partner company.

Number of package tours sold that include wildlife watching:

_____ (please indicate the number of tours and the year - data for 2013 are preferred)

What percentage of your overall packages do wildlife packages represent?

_____ %

Total number of customers on tours that include wildlife watching:

_____ (please indicate the number of customers and the year - data for 2013 are preferred)

What percentage do wildlife customers represent of your total number of customers?

_____ %

Average number of passengers in a group for a typical wildlife tour package:

_____ passengers

Average number of days of a typical wildlife tour package:

_____ days

Average price of a typical wildlife package tour:

Price: _____   Length: _________ days   Currency: _____

Annual sales of the company achieved with your tours to Africa:

_______ Currency: _____

Please, specify the amount/year or give an average for the last five years. Data for 2013 is preferred.
Annual sales of the company achieved with wildlife watching tours to Africa:

[Currency: _____ ]

*Please, specify the amount/year or give an average for the last five years. Data for 2013 is preferred.*

Percentage of the wildlife watching tours within the total annual sales for trips sold to Africa:

[___ %]

*Over the last five years, how did the sales of wildlife watching tours of your company develop? (please select only one option)*

□ Increasing  □ Stable  □ Decreasing

If you have any comments or additional performance information related to wildlife watching tourism please kindly indicate them here:


10. Please indicate which local services contracted by your company are **included** in the package tours: *(multiple answers possible)*

□ Accommodation
□ Restaurants
□ Food and beverages (at local markets and stores)
□ Wellness (e.g. beauty treatment, massage)
□ Transport
□ Tour guides
□ Inbound local tour operators
□ National park/protected area service (entrance, information)
□ Cultural performances
□ Others: ____________________________________________

11. Please indicate which local services typically used by your customers are **not included** in the package tours: *(multiple answers possible)*

□ Accommodation
□ Restaurants
□ Food and beverages (at local markets and stores)
□ Wellness (e.g. beauty treatment, massage)
□ Transport
□ Tour guides
□ Inbound local tour operators
□ National park/protected area service (entrance, information)
□ Souvenirs/cultural performances
□ Others: ____________________________________________
12. What is your recommendation for customers for budgeting out of pocket spending? (Food and beverages that are not included, souvenirs, tips, fees not included in the package, transport/guiding for individual tours etc.):

_______ per customer/per day Currency: _____

13. From your experience: How do you evaluate nature conservation and wildlife management in the countries you work in? (select only one option)

☐ Nature conservation and wildlife management are very well managed.
☐ Nature conservation and wildlife management are well managed.
☐ Nature conservation and wildlife management are managed but there are many shortcomings.
☐ Nature conservation and wildlife management are poorly managed or not at all. Others comments:

14. Do you consider poaching as an issue that affects your operations or the operations of your partners?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, why?

15. Do you encounter difficulties during your tours because of poaching activities?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, why?

If yes, how often does this occur? (please select only one option)

☐ Very often (nearly every tour)
☐ Occasionally (a few times per year)
☐ Rarely (once a year or less)

16. Do you distribute specific information on poaching? (please select only one option)

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ It is currently under development.

If yes, what information do you distribute?
17. Do you experience that customers are concerned with poaching? *(multiple answers possible)*
- Yes, they actively ask about the topic
- Yes, they express their concern when the topic comes up
- No

If customers actively ask about the topic, how often does this occur? *(please select only one option)*
- Very often (nearly every tour)
- Occasionally (a few times per year)
- Rarely (once a year or less)

18. Does your business fund anti-poaching initiatives, either directly or indirectly?
- Yes
- No

If yes, are external NGOs and organisations involved? Which ones?

19. Do your suppliers fund anti-poaching initiatives? *(please select only one option)*
- Yes
- Not aware
- No

If yes, are external NGOs and organisations involved? Which ones?

20. From your experience as a tour operator, how important are the following items for your customers?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Important</th>
<th>Not so important</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Parks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with local community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxury hotels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nightlife</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good/exotic food</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adventure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
21. Please feel free to add any additional comments:

___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________

22. Would you like to have your logo included in the "contributors" section of our study?
☐ Yes  ☐ No
Survey on Wildlife Watching Tourism in Africa:

African Tour Operators Input

Introductory note

In support of the global efforts against wildlife poaching on the African continent, UNWTO is conducting a survey to evaluate the value of wildlife watching tourism in Africa. Your input is essential!

Tourism in Africa is by no means unaffected by the ongoing poaching crisis - wildlife watching is one of the main tourism draws of African countries, and as such a key contributor to the continent’s socio-economic development.

Providing additional support to the global efforts against poaching, UNWTO is mobilizing the international tourism community to gather further insight into the importance of wildlife for the sector. The results of the survey will be presented at the forthcoming UNWTO Regional Commission for Africa in April 2014 (Angola) and will be integrated into an extensive study on the economic value of wildlife watching tourism in Africa.

By giving your valuable insight, you will be supporting UNWTO efforts on the conservation of one of the most precious tourism attractions Africa has to offer – its unique wildlife.

Thank you very much for your cooperation!

Important note: Please note that the pdf is offered mainly to facilitate the work on the questionnaire prior to filling in the online electronic form.
Tour Operator Name: ________________________________

Based in (country): ________________________________

Please, indicate the number of employees working in your company:
______ employees

1. Do you offer trips only to African countries or as well to other continents?
   □ Only Africa
   □ Africa and other continents
   □ Only other continents → please continue with question 18 on page 6

2. What kind of trips do you offer in Africa? (multiple answers possible)
   - Package group tours (all-inclusive, organized tours with fixed dates, routes and activities)
   - Tailor-made tours (package of individually selected routes and components)
   - Only flight
   - Flight & accommodation
   - Only hotel

3. How important are the following products for your operations in Africa?
   Please create a ranking selecting 1 for the most frequently sold and 5 for the least frequently sold. Please do not choose the same ranking for different items.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beach/resort holidays</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife watching tours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature/adventure tours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural tours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business trips</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Please note that the input for this question is in table form in the online questionnaire.

4. Do you offer wildlife watching tours?
   □ Yes
   □ No → please continue with question 18 on page 6

5. What kind of wildlife watching tours do you offer? (multiple answers possible)
   - Safari (big five and others)
   - Great apes (chimpanzees, gorillas)
   - Marine wildlife (including whale watching)
   - Bird watching
   - Special wildlife tracking
   - Others: ________________________________

6. Which additional activities are included in your wildlife tours? (multiple answers possible)
   ________________________________
☐ Resort
☐ Adventure sports (e.g. dune
boarding, kayaking) Fishing
☐ Cultural heritage (e.g. villages, archaeology, historic architecture, traditional markets)
☐ Nature-related activities (e.g. sight-seeing, hiking, trekking)
☐ Homestay
☐ Volunteering
☐ Our package tours are exclusively wildlife watching tours.
☐ Others: ____________________________

7. In which countries do you offer wildlife watching tours? *(multiple answers possible)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country 1</th>
<th>Country 2</th>
<th>Country 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>Gambia</td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>São Tomé and Príncipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>Senegal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>Guinea-Bissau</td>
<td>Seychelles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>Lesotho</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>Swaziland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>Togo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte d'Ivoire</td>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Republic of Congo</td>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Djibouti</td>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eritrea</td>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>Niger</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Country 1: In which Regions/Towns/National Parks do you offer wildlife watching tours?**

**Which main attractions in country 1? *(multiple answers possible)*

☐ Safari (big five and others)
☐ Great apes (chimpanzees, gorillas)
☐ Marine wildlife (including whale watching)
☐ Bird watching
☐ Special wildlife tracking
    Others:
In case you are working with local tour operators in country 1, please indicate the number:

_____ local tour operators

Please note: In the online questionnaire, there is space for listing more countries.

10. Does the wildlife watching during your tours mainly take place in protected areas (national parks etc.)?

☐ Yes  ☐ No

If no, could you describe why not and where is wildlife watching taking place?

11. In order to give an overview of the dimension of wildlife watching tourism in Africa it would be very useful to know about your business performance.

Please include all bookings that are either directly operated by your company or booked via your company and operated by a partner company.

Number of package tours sold that include wildlife watching:

_____ (please indicate the number of tours and the year - data for 2013 are preferred)

What percentage of your overall packages do wildlife packages represent?

_____ %

Total number of customers on tours that include wildlife watching:

_____ (please indicate the number of customers and the year - data for 2013 are preferred)

What percentage do wildlife customers represent of your total number of customers?

_____ %

Average number of passengers in a group for a typical wildlife tour package:

_____ passengers

Average number of days of a typical wildlife tour package:

_____ days

Average price of a typical wildlife package tour:

Price: _______  Length: ________ days  Currency: _____

Total annual sales of the company:

_____  Currency: _____

Please, specify the amount/year or give an average for the last five years. Data for 2013 is preferred.

Annual sales of the company achieved with wildlife watching tours in African countries:
Currency: _____

*Please, specify the amount/year or give an average for the last five years. Data for 2013 is preferred.*

**Percentage of the wildlife watching tours within the total annual sales:**

_____ %

**Over the last five years, how did the sales of wildlife watching tours of your company develop?**

☐ Increasing  ☐ Stable  ☐ Decreasing

*If you have any comments or additional performance information related to wildlife watching tourism please kindly indicate them here:*

12. Please indicate which local services contracted by your company are included in the package tours: *(multiple answers possible)*

☐ Accommodation
☐ Restaurants
☐ Food and beverages (at local markets and stores)
☐ Wellness (e.g. beauty treatment, massage)
☐ Transport
☐ Tour guides
☐ Inbound local tour operators
☐ National park/protected area service (entrance, information)
☐ Cultural performances
☐ Others: ____________________________________________

13. Please indicate which local services typically used by your customers are not included in the package tours: *(multiple answers possible)*

☐ Accommodation
☐ Restaurants
☐ Food and beverages (at local markets and stores)
☐ Wellness (e.g. beauty treatment, massage)
☐ Transport
☐ Tour guides
☐ Inbound local tour operators
☐ National park/protected area service (entrance, information)
☐ Souvenirs/cultural performances
☐ Others: ____________________________________________
15. What is your recommendation for customers for budgeting out of pocket spending? (Food and beverages that are not included, souvenirs, tips, fees not included in the package, transport/guiding for individual tours etc.):

______ per customer/per day  Currency: _____

13. From your experience: How do you evaluate nature conservation and wildlife management in the countries you work in?

☐ Nature conservation and wildlife management are very well managed.
☐ Nature conservation and wildlife management are well managed.
☐ Nature conservation and wildlife management are managed but there are many shortcomings.
☐ Nature conservation and wildlife management are poorly managed or not at all. Others comments:

21. Do you consider poaching as an issue that affects your operations or the operations of your partners?

☐ Yes  ☐ No

If yes, why?

15. Do you encounter difficulties during your tours because of poaching activities?

☐ Yes  ☐ No

If yes, why?

If yes, how often does this occur?  (please select only one option)

☐ Very often (nearly every tour)
☐ Occasionally (a few times per year)
☐ Rarely (once a year or less)

16. Do you distribute specific information on poaching?  (please select only one option)

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ It is currently under development.

If yes, what information do you distribute?
17. Do you experience that customers are concerned with poaching? *multiple answers possible*

- Yes, they actively ask about the topic.
- Yes, they express their concern when the topic comes up.
- No

If customers actively ask about the topic, how often does this occur? *please select only one option*

- Very often (nearly every tour)
- Occasionally (a few times per year)
- Rarely (once a year or less)

18. Does your business fund anti-poaching initiatives, either directly or indirectly?

- Yes
- No

If yes, are external NGOs and organisations involved? Which ones?

19. Do your suppliers fund anti-poaching initiatives? *please select only one option*

- Yes
- Not aware
- No

If yes, are external NGOs and organisations involved? Which ones?

20. From your experience as a tour operator, how important are the following items for your customers?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Important</th>
<th>Not so important</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Parks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with local community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxury hotels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nightlife</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good/exotic food</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adventure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exotic destination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
21. Please feel free to add any additional comments:

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

22. Would you like to have your logo included in the "contributors” section of our study?
   ☐ Yes    ☐ No
Survey on Wildlife Watching Tourism in Africa:
National Parks Input

Introductory note

In support of the global efforts against wildlife poaching on the African continent, the World Tourism Organisation of the United Nations (UNWTO) is conducting a survey to evaluate the value of wildlife watching tourism in Africa.

Your input is essential!

Tourism in Africa is by no means unaffected by the ongoing poaching crisis - wildlife watching is one of the main tourism draws of African countries, and as such a key contributor to the continent’s socio-economic development.

Providing additional support to the global efforts against poaching, UNWTO is mobilizing the international tourism community to gather further insight into the importance of wildlife for the sector. The results of the survey will be presented at the forthcoming UNWTO Regional Commission for Africa in April 2014 (Angola) and will be integrated into an extensive study on the economic value of wildlife watching tourism in Africa.

As a national park administration, you are directly involved with wildlife protection, and also with tourism development. Therefore, you have hands-on expert knowledge on the dimensions and characteristics of wildlife watching tourism at your national park.

By giving your valuable insight, you will be supporting UNWTO efforts on the conservation of one of the most precious tourism attractions Africa has to offer – its unique wildlife.

Thank you very much for your cooperation!

Please note that the survey aims at gathering a broad range of data and therefore some of the questions might not apply to the specific setting of tourism at your national park. In such a case, just leave out the question and continue with the following – your input will be nevertheless valuable.

UNWTO would appreciate if you could share any research or case studies on wildlife watching tourism that might have been conducted at your national park – please find the place for mentioning such studies at the end of the questionnaire. Please feel free to send us related documents.

Important note: In view of the relevance of the results of the current survey, it is highly recommended that this questionnaire be filled out by one or more high level officials and approved by the head of your institution. Please note that the printable version is offered first of all in order to help officials to discuss the different aspects of the survey. If possible, please use the online version for answering the survey. If this is not possible, please print the pdf, fill in the questionnaire and send it to the following address via express courier:

World Tourism Organization (UNWTO)
Platz der Vereinten Nationen 1, D-53113 Bonn, Germany
Telephone: +49 228 815 0555
1. What kind of wildlife watching can be realized in your national park? (multiple answers possible)
   - ☐ Safari (big five and others)
   - ☐ Great apes (chimpanzees, gorillas etc.)
   - ☐ Marine wildlife (including whale watching)
   - ☐ Bird watching
   - ☐ Special wildlife tracking
   - ☐ Others: ________________________________

2. What are the species your national park is specifically famous for?
   _______________________________________
   - ☐ There is not one specific species – there are many different species to observe.

3. Are there special spots for wildlife observation in your national park? (multiple answers possible)
   - ☐ Water holes
   - ☐ Rivers
   - ☐ Dry river beds
   - ☐ Lakes
   - ☐ Salt lakes
   - ☐ Caves
   - ☐ Salt lick places
   - ☐ Canopy trails
   - ☐ Special bird observation spots
   - ☐ Observation spots along migration routes
   - ☐ Beach/coast
   - ☐ Coral Reefs and other spots for marine wildlife observation
   - ☐ Others: ________________________________
   - ☐ No specific spots – wildlife observation takes place along routes, trails and at different locations.

4. Do you monitor the numbers of visitors of your national park?
   - ☐ Yes
   - ☐ No

   If yes, how? (multiple answers possible)
   - ☐ Entrance tickets
   - ☐ Official registration forms (accommodation related)
If you monitor the numbers through official registration forms: Can you indicate how many tourists are registered as wildlife watching tourists?

_______ wildlife watching tourists

If you conduct surveys, could you describe the nature of these surveys and the results gathered?

Nature of these surveys (e.g. carried out in the framework of which project, developed by whom etc.):

__________________________________________________________________________________

Results (if possible please indicate the number of arrivals and receipts related to wildlife tourism):

__________________________________________________________________________________

If you gather data at tourist information points, could you describe the monitoring activities taking place at the tourist information points and the results gathered?

Type of monitoring activities:

__________________________________________________________________________________

Results (if possible please indicate the number of arrivals and receipts related to wildlife tourism):

__________________________________________________________________________________

If you have other monitoring activities, which type?

__________________________________________________________________________________

Results (if possible please indicate the number of arrivals and receipts related to wildlife tourism):

__________________________________________________________________________________

4. Could you indicate the total amount of visitors and the overall receipts from tourism in your national park?

Total number of visitors per year:

____________

Overall receipts from tourism (per year):

Amount: _____________ Currency: _____________
11. Do tourists visit your national park mainly for wildlife watching or do they come for other activities? (select only one option)

☐ 100% of visitors come to observe wildlife.
☐ Visitors come to observe wildlife and for other nature-related activities.
☐ Visitors come mainly for other nature-related activities.

If visitors come for different purposes, could you estimate the percentage of your overall number of visitors and tourism receipts that wildlife watching represents in your national park?

__________ 12. of total arrivals

__________ 13. of total receipts

7. What is the entrance fee for tourists visiting your national park?

Amount: ___________ Currency: ___________

8. Are there other fees for wildlife watching tourists in your national park? (multiple answers possible)

☐ Yes, photography permits
  Could you indicate the average fee for a photography permit?
  Amount: ___________ Currency: ___________

☐ Yes, permit to visit specific wildlife places (e.g. gorillas)
  Could you indicate the average fee for permits to visit specific wildlife places?
  Amount: _____ Currency: ___________

☐ No
☐ Yes, others: ____________________________________________
13. Are local communities employed by tourism service providers that offer wildlife watching tourism in your national park?

☐ Yes  ☐ No

If yes, where do they work or what is their job? *(multiple answers possible)*

- ☐ Accommodation
  - Average wage of non-managerial staff: ___________ per day  Currency: ____

- ☐ Restaurants
  - Average wage of non-managerial staff: ___________ per day  Currency: ____

- ☐ Tour guides
  - Average wage of guides: ___________ per day  Currency: ____

- ☐ National park rangers/staff involved in tourism activities
  - Average wage of rangers: ___________ per day  Currency: ____

- ☐ Transport (bus, car, boat etc.)
  - Average wage of drivers: ___________ per day  Currency: ____

- ☐ Porters
  - Average wage of porters: ___________ per day  Currency: ____

- ☐ Craftsmen
  - Average wage/income of craftsmen: ___________ per day  Currency: ____

- ☐ Local tour operators

- ☐ Others: ____________________________________________
10. Are local communities directly involved in wildlife watching tourism in your national park?

☐ Yes    ☐ No

If yes, which goods and services do these communities provide? *(multiple answers possible)*

☐ Supply of food and beverages
☐ Supply of cultural goods and services (souvenirs, handicrafts, village visits, events, festivals etc.)
☐ Supply of other goods and services (clothes, construction material, medicinal plants etc.)

11. Which indirect benefits do communities obtain from wildlife watching tourism in your national park? *(multiple answers possible)*

☐ They get a proportion of national park fees.
☐ They get a proportion of taxes/licenses related to tourism.
☐ None
☐ Others: __________________________________________

12. Who benefits how much from wildlife watching tourism in your national park? *Please create a ranking selecting 1 for benefits most and 5 for benefits least. Please do not choose the same ranking for different items.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local communities</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local governments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local tourism providers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The national government</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National tourism providers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International tourism providers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that the input for this question is in table form in the online questionnaire.

13. In order to get an overall view of the dimension of wildlife watching tourism in your national park, we would like to ask you some data related to tourism businesses serving wildlife watching tourists.

*Please include businesses inside and outside the park.*

*If you do not have data to one of these questions, just continue with the following.*

*Please give the most recent data that is available and indicate the year.*

**Accommodation services for wildlife watching tourists:** *(hotels, lodges, camps and similar establishments)*

- Number of businesses: ____________ Year: _______
- Number of jobs: ____________ Year: _______
- Annual sales: ____________ Year: _______
Restaurants serving mainly wildlife watching tourists:

Number of businesses: ___________  Year: _______
Number of jobs: ___________  Year: _______
Annual sales: ___________  Year: _______

Transportation services serving mainly wildlife watching tourists:

Number of businesses: ___________  Year: _______
Number of jobs: ___________  Year: _______
Annual sales: ___________  Year: _______

Local tour operators for wildlife watching tours:

Number of businesses: ___________  Year: _______
Number of jobs: ___________  Year: _______
Annual sales: ___________  Year: _______

Overall figures of all businesses related to wildlife watching tourism:

Number of businesses: ___________  Year: _______
Number of jobs: ___________  Year: _______
Annual sales: ___________  Year: _______

14. How do you evaluate the **overall nature conservation** status of your national park? *(select only one option)*

☐ Very good
☐ Good
☐ Not very satisfying
☐ Dissatisfying
Comments: ____________________________________________
15. How do you evaluate the status of wildlife conservation of your national park? (*select only one option*)

- [ ] Very good
- [ ] Good
- [ ] Not very satisfying
- [ ] Dissatisfying

Comments: 

16. Are there problems with poaching in your national park or adjacent territories?

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

If yes, which animals are poached?

- [ ] Terrestrial mammals
  Can you list the most affected terrestrial mammal species?

- [ ] Marine wildlife
  Can you list the most affected marine wildlife species?

- [ ] Birds
  Can you list the most affected bird species?

- [ ] Others: ____________________________

17. Do you consider poaching as an issue that affects wildlife watching tourism taking place in your national park?

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

If yes, why?

18. Is the national park administration involved in anti-poaching measures?

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

If yes, which ones?


19. Does the national park administration distribute specific information on poaching for visitors?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ It is currently under development.

20. Please feel free to add any additional comments. If you have information on case studies related to the topic, we would appreciate if you could list them here.

________________________________________

________________________________________

________________________________________

________________________________________

________________________________________

________________________________________